5GMF White Paper

Cybersecurity in 5G Use Cases

Version1.0

August 4, 2021



General Notes

- 1. The copyright of this document is ascribed to the Fifth Generation Mobile Communications Promotion Forum (5GMF).
- 2. 2.All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction (Report Overview)	5
2.	Research Goals of the Security Study and Research Committee	5
3.	List of Acronyms	6
4.	Trends in Standards for 5G Security	8
	4.1 Introduction	8
	4.2 5G Standards and Introduction Schedule	8
	4.3 Non-stand Along (NSA) Security	11
	4.4 5G phase 1 security	11
	4.4.1 Changes to the Trust Model	11
	4.4.2 Key Hierarchy Verification	13
	4.4.3 Strengthening privacy protections	14
	4.4.4 Primary / Secondary Authentication	15
	4.4.5 On-demand Security	15
	4.5 3GPP Security issues in 3GPP Release 16 (5G phase 2) and the State of Release	+17
		15
	4.6 Other organizations studying 5G security	17
	4.7 Summary of 5G security standards trends	19
5.	Studies on 5G Security	20
	5.1 Use Case: IoT Security	20
	5.1.1. Glossary	20
	5.1.2. Overview of IoT Security Related Papers	20
	5.1.3 Topics Extracted	23
	5.1.4. Overview of New Security Functions in 5G	
	5.1.6 Results of the Survey of Concrete Solutions to problems with IoT	32
	5.1.7 Overview of Related Work Items with the 3GPP	47
	5.1.8 Review of IoT Security Use Cases	48
	5.2 Use Case Connected Vehicle Security	50
	5.2.1 Overview	50
	5.2.2. Standards Related to Connected Vehicle Security	
	5.2.3. Security on connected vehicle	
	5.2.4. Use Case Connected Vehicle Security Summary	
	5.3. FinTech Security Use Cases	

	5.3.1. Introduction	. 104
	5.3.2 5G FinTech Services	. 104
	5.3.3 Collaborations between FinTech firms and other Related Organizations	. 106
	5.3.6 Points for operators to consider and security issues related to real time	
	authentication	. 116
	5.3.7 Summarizing Security Issues in FinTech Use Cases	. 120
6.	References	. 121
	6.1 IoT Security Use Cases	. 121
7.	Summary	. 125
Rev	vision History	. 126

1. Introduction (Report Overview)

This white paper originates from the increasing number of requests received in 2019 for studies into 5G security from many concerned individuals and organizations, which led to the 5GMF upgrading the Security AdHoc to the Security Study and Research Committee. The research on 5G security that the Committee has conducted since then has been compiled in this report.

2. Research Goals of the Security Study and Research Committee

The aim of the Committee's research was, as per the opinions of participating Committee members, to look at the specific fields of 1) IoT, 2) Connected Vehicles, and 3) Fintech to discover relevant security issues that related to overall trends in 5G security standards. Recruitment for committee members ended in September 2019, after which the 21 participants began their work.

Security issues in following use cases were chosen to be considered in this paper:

- IoT devices with limited computing devices, large numbers of IoT devices (authentication technology)
- Connected Vehicles, (self-driving vehicles, driver Assistance systems)
- FinTech related services (mobile commerce, etc.)

3. List of Acronyms

3GPP: Third Generation Partnership Project 5GAA: 5G Automotive Association ACEA: European Automobile Manufacturers' Association AECC: Automotive Edge Computing Consortium **AF**: Application Function AMF: Core Access and Mobility Management Function **AUSF:** Authentication Server Function CN: Core Network **CR**: Compliance Rules CRL: Certificate Revocation List C-V2X: Cellular Vehicle-to-Everything DDoS: Distributed Denial-of-Service DoS: Denial-of-Service DRM: Digital Rights Management **DSRC:** Dedicated Short Range Communications ECU: Electronic Control Unit ENISA: The European Union Agency for Cybersecurity eSIM: Embedded Subscriber Identification Module ETSI: European Telecommunications Standards Institute EVITA: E-Safety Vehicle Intrusion Protected Applications **GSMA: GSM Association** GUTI : Global Unique Temporary Identifier HDCP: High-Bandwidth Digital Content Protection HDMI: High-Definition Multimedia Interface HIS: The Hersteller Initiative Software IMSI: International Mobile Subscriber Identity IRN: Infrastructure/Roadside Network ITS-S: ITS Station ITS-SCU: ITS Station Communication Unit ITS-SU: ITS Station Unit **IVN:** In-Vehicle Network LDP: Local Dynamic Map MEC: Mobile Edge Computing/Multi-Access Edge Computing NAS: Non-Access Stratum NESAS: Network Equipment Security Assurance Scheme **NEF:** Network Exposure Function NF: Network Function

NRF: Network Repository Function

NS: Network Slice

NSSAI: Network Slice Selection Assistance Information

NSSF: Network Slice Selection Function

OBU: Onboard Unit

OTA: Over-the-Air

PKI: Public Key Infrastructure

PVS: Probe Vehicle Systems

QoS: Quality of Service

RAN: Radio Access Network

 $RR: Robustness \ Rules$

RSU: Roadside Unit

SAE International: Society of Automotive Engineers

SBA: Service Based Architecture

SCAS : Security Assurance Specifications

SCN: Sensor and Control Network

SMF: Session Management Function

SUCI: Subscription Concealed Identifier

TCG: Trusted Computing Group

TEE: Trusted Execution Environment

TFCS: Task Force on Cyber Security

TLS: Transport Layer Security

UE: User Equipment

UNECE: United Nations Economic Commission for Europe

UPF: User Plane Function

URLLC: Ultra-Reliable and Low Latency Communications

V2D: Vehicle-to-Nomadic Device

V2I: Vehicle-to-Infrastructure

V2N: Vehicle-to-Network

V2P: Vehicle-to-Pedestrian

V2V: Vehicle-to-Vehicle

V2X: Vehicle-to-Everything

4. Trends in Standards for 5G Security

4.1 Introduction

This chapter will provide an overview of standards trends as related to 5G security, focusing on the activities of the SA3 working group, which has been assigned by the 3GPP to discuss security and privacy standards. This overview will begin with a discussion on 5G standards and the schedule for its introduction, followed by a discussion on security in a 5G non-stand alone configuration, which will be used in 5G networks during the early stage of its deployment, then a discussion on the differences in security with LTE and 5G stand-alone configurations, then concluding with a discussion on Release 16, which was scheduled to be completed in June, 2020, and the current situation in studies for Release 17.

4.2 5G Standards and Introduction Schedule

The ITU and the 3GPP, which deal with international mobile telecommunications (IMT), have entered the final phase of standards activities with the planned realization of 5G (IMT-2020) in 2020. In order to realize 5G, studies on standards specifications include those for ultra-fast speeds (eMBB: Enhanced Mobile Broadband), ultra-low latency (URLLC: Ultra-Reliable and Low Latency Communication, and massive simultaneous connections (mMTC : massive Machine Type Communications).



(**eMBB** : Enhanced mobile broadband)

ITU-R IMT Vision Report (M.2083) (Sept. 2015)

Figure 4.1 5G Use Cases as noted in the IMT-2020 Vision recommendations

eMBB services that use new frequency bands for 5G will be offered from 2020 during the transition from 4G to 5G. In order to achieve this, base stations using New Radio (NR) technology will operate non-stand alone (NSA) configurations that cooperate with LTE base stations. Sometime during 2020s 5G core networks that support network slicing will be introduced and operations via stand alone (SA) networks' NR base stations will begin, and as NR will be introduced to already existing frequency bands. From this 5G services that utilize its ultra-high speeds, massive multiple simultaneous connections, high reliability, and ultra-low latency can be offered



Figure 4.1 An excerpt from the MIC publication on the transition from 4G to 5G

Below is the past and future chronology of 3GPP SA3 security standards activities:

- June 2017 (Release 14)
 - ➢ 5G security studies
 - ♦ An outline of 17 fields with 5G security related issues and their solutions was produced
 - \diamond An outline for future studies was prepared.
- June 2019 (Release 15)
 - ▶ 5G phase 1 security
 - ♦ Trust model, key infrastructure, intercarrier security, guaranteeing privacy
 - \diamond Main use case for eMBB
- June 2020, Release 16
 - \succ 5G phase 2 security

- \diamond Strengthening security to cover mMTC and URLLC
- September 2021 (Release 17)
 - > Studying innovations in radio technology
 - ♦ Three work items currently listed related to security: integrating GBA (Generic Bootstrap Architecture) into the 5G core, IMS in SCA, and Lawful Interception.

The specifications for 5G NR (New Radio) in the 5G radio system is in 3GPP Release 15, which specified that all 5G specialized equipment on the radio network, such as base stations and the core network, would use the SA to be built. Within 5G NR, the standards for NSA configurations which specifies the case of operating a combined LTE and NR network were finally decided in December 2017, and that during the early stages of offering 5G services from 2020 that this NSA configuration would be used. It was decided in Release 16 that during 5G phase 2 mMTC and URLLC would be completely covered by 5G's technical specifications. Studies had had progressed in the 3GPP SA3 on SCAS (Security Assurance Specification) for 5G core network functions, network slice security, 256 bit encryption support, strengthening security that covers mMTC and URLLC, and virtual and LAN service security.



Figure 4.2 Status of 3GPP Studies

4.3 Non-stand Along (NSA) Security

NSA is the architecture which will be used to move forward the introduction of 5G using the LTE (4G) network core (EPC: Evolved Packet Core). Figure 4.4 shows that the device and the base station are the only pure 5G equipment, but high speeds and massive capacity is made possible through the use of 5G radio.



Figure 4.4 5G Non-stand alone configuration

NSA can extend LTE's high speeds and high capacity to 5G via dual connectivity as defined in TS 33.401 Annex E and the specifications for simultaneous transmission of LTE carriers between multiple base stations by using LTE base stations as primary base stations and using 5G base stations as secondary base stations. As security procedures are generally the same as those for LTE dual connectivity, the level of security in NSA will not be that different than for LTE. As described in the following sections, security for 5G will be strengthened when it moves to SA. Assuming that 5G and LTE will continue to exist side by side in this way means that it will be necessary to study the security issues in use cases in which there is a possibility of a downgrade attack when leaving a 5G area to connect to an LTE network.

4.4 5G phase 1 security

4.4.1 Changes to the Trust Model

5G security is designed around the idea that trust is decreasing when it is away from the core. One example of this is in terms of Radio Access Network (RAN), which at base

stations is separated between distributed units (DU) and central units (CU). The DU does not retain cryptographic keys, and the U-Plane security is terminated at the CU, because DU will be deployed in an area where the level of physical security is lower. In addition, as shown in figure 4.5, during intercarrier roaming, Home Control is enhanced with the verification of the authentication process for the visiting roaming network (vPLMN) to be carried out by AUSF (AUthentication Server Function) in the home network (hPLMN). As shown in Figure 4.6, Security Edge Protection Proxy (SEPP) is introduced in order to secure intercarrier communications.



Figure 4.3 5G Authentication and Home Control



Figure 4.4 Roaming Architecture

4.4.2 Key Hierarchy Verification

The foundation of security in 5G, as it is with LTE, is the use of a long-term secret key (K) that is stored in the core network and the USIM. 5G has two types of authentication, Primary Authentication which is performed on all devices in order to access mobile network services and Secondary Authentication, which is optional and required when accessing a certain external data networks (DN). After Primary Authentication successfully occurs between the core network and the User Equipment (UE), the serving network's unique anchor key (K sEAF) is derived from K. From the anchor K the Cipher Key (CK) and the Integrity Key (IK) are derived. The key hierarchy beginning from the initial K is shown in figure 4.7.



Figure 4.5 Key hierarchy

The key hierarchy includes: K, CK, IK, K AUSF, K SEAF, K AMF, K NASint, K NASenc, K N3IWF, K gNB, K RRCint, K RRCenc, K UPint, and KUPenc¹.

¹ AUSF: Authentication Server Function, SEAF: Security Anchor Function, AMF: Access Management Function, NAS: Non-Access Stratum, gNB: Next generation NodeB, RRC: Radio Resource Control, UP: User Plane

- K_{AUSF} is derived by both the device and ARPF from the CK as well as the IK during 5G AKA.
- K AUSF is derived from ME and AUSF in cases when using the 3GPP credential key to verify radio access that is supported by EAP.
- From K_{AUSF}, the AUSF and ME derive the anchor key K_{SEAF} which is then used to derive the K_{AMF} by ME and SEAF.
- K'_{AMF} key can be derived from the previous K_{AMf} via the ME and AMF when the UE moves from one AMF to another AMF.
- K $_{\rm NASint}$ $\succeq\,$ K $_{\rm NASenc,}$ which protect NAS signaling, is derived from the K $_{\rm AMF}$ via the ME and AMF
- K $_{\rm gNB}$ is derived from the K $_{\rm AMF}$ via the ME and the AMF. The K $_{\rm gNB}$, when moving, uses the intermediate key K $_{\rm gNB}$ * in which case it can be derived via the ME as well as the source gNB
- The AS integrity and confidentiality keys, which are called UP (K _{UPint} and K _{UPenc}) and RRC (K_{RRCint} and K_{RRCenc}), are derived from K _{gNB} via ME and gNB. The UP-confidentiality key is an extension for IoT services. The intermediate key NH, which will provide forward security during handovers, is derived via the ME and AMF.

4.4.3 Strengthening privacy protections

Protection of international mobile subscriber identity (IMSI) has not been sufficient in networks historically, including 4G, and it has been possible to track subscribers through the use of an ISMI catcher. 5G strengthens the protection of subscriber ID by encrypting them using the home network public key.

The subscriber ID in 5G, called the Subscription Permanent Identifier (SUPI), is derived from the Mobile Country Code (MCC), Mobile Network Code (MNC), and the Mobile Subscriber Identification Number (MSIN). Devices, except when emergency registration, must use the Subscription Concealed Identifier (SUCI), which encrypts the MSIN part of the home network public key, to connect to the home network. The SUCI is put into the home network ARPF and the authentication proceeds by returning to the SUPI.

4.4.4 Primary / Secondary Authentication

As previously noted, in 5G there are two authentication processes: Primary Authentication performed by all devices in order to access mobile network services and Secondary Authentication that is optional and required when accessing a certain external data networks (DN) . Primary Authentication is independent of the access network and is also used to connect to non 3GPP access networks such as Wi-Fi. Authentication and key derivation use 5G-AKA as well as EAP-AKA. In order to strengthen Home Control, authentication results from the visiting roaming network are verified during the home network authentication procedure.

Secondary Authentication occurs using EAP in cases when authentication is requested from an external data network (DN). SMF behaves as an EAP Authenticator, using an external authentication server (DN-AAA). This presupposes the device needs to establish a security context with AMF via Primary Authentication.

4.4.5 On-demand Security

Since 5G will be used in a variety of field and services, devices and applications will require different security needs in addition to having different types of constraints. Due to this, it will be possible to select the type of strong encryption process desired, such as whether or not to have U-Plane encryption or tamper detection, gNB (base station) security policies that receive SMF via AMF, and algorithms that select gNB and UE based on capabilities as well as receiving notifications from UE.

4.5 3GPP Security issues in 3GPP Release 16 (5G phase 2) and the State of Release 17

The main security issues in release 16, dating from March 2019, that are listed as work items include: long term key update, 256 bits key using, SECAM/SCAS, network slice security, positioning information service security, URLLC security, vertical and LAN service security, and SBA security.

Feature or Study Item: Study on authentication enhancements in 5GS		
Feature or Study Item: Study on the security of URLLC for 5GS		
Feature or Study Item: Study on Security for 5GS Enhanced support of Vertical and LAN Services		
Feature or Study Item: Study on evolution of Cellular IoT security for the 5G System		
Feature or Study Item: Study on Security of the enhancement to the 5GC location services		
Feature or Study Item: Study on the security of the Wireless and Wireline Convergence for the 5G system architecture		
Feature or Study Item: Mission Critical Services Security Enhancements		
Feature or Study Item: Study on Security aspects of Enhancement of Network Slicing		
Building Block: Study on Security Aspects of PARLOS		
Feature or Study Item: Security Assurance Specification for 5G		
Feature or Study Item: Study on Security Aspects of the 5G Service Based Architecture		
Feature or Study Item: Study on 5G security enhancement against false base stations		
Feature or Study Item: Study of KDF negotiation for 5G System Security		
Feature or Study Item: Study on Long Term Key Update Process (LTKUP) Detailed solutions		
Feature or Study Item: Study on User Plane Integrity Protection		
Feature or Study Item: Study on authentication and key management for applications based on 3GPP credential in 5G		
Feature or Study Item: Study on SECAM and SCAS for 3GPP virtualized network products		
Feature or Study Item: Study on Security Impacts of Virtualisation		

Figure 4.8 SA3 Work items in 5G Phase 2 (Release 16) (March 2019)

The bus-style architecture that was adopted for 5G is a Service Based Architecture (SBA), in which individual device services called by HTTP/2 from the core network via the RESTful API are controlled individually. The introduction of SBA, which uses for middleware protocols that currently exist on the internet and an already developed library, has brought about concerns on the effects of related vulnerabilities. Especially as they relate to security considerations during roaming on inter-operator communications. The 3GPP and GSMA collaborated to establish the Network Equipment Security Assurance Scheme (NESAS) in order to create a mechanism to conduct activities related to security related safety issues on network devices. The 3GPP is responsible for the Security Assurance Specification (SCAS) policy that is used by the NESAS.



Figure 4.6 Network Equipment Security Assurance Scheme Overview – GSMA

Studies related to Release 16 were generally completed by March 2020 and consideration of issues in Release 17 began in January 2020. Work items in Release 17 from SA3 included the following three points, however as other groups added new proposed functions in Release 17, the addition of additional work items security-related issues is being considered.

```
Feature or Study Item: Integration of GBA into 5GC
Feature or Study Item: Assurance Specification for IMS
Feature or Study Item: Lawful Interception Rel-17
```

Figure 4.10 Work items in Release 17 from SA3 (as of March 2020)

4.6 Other organizations studying 5G security

In addition to the 3GPP, other standards bodies and industry groups studying 5G related security issues are introduced below:

- ITU-T
 - 5G related issues being studied include security related issues that are also being considered by Study Group 17. Discussion items on questions that were received are listed as follows, progressing towards offering 5G security recommendations on these issues, working with representatives from other standardization bodies.
 - \diamond Q2/17 (Security architecture and framework)
 - SDN/NFV/network slicing security for 5G
 - ♦ Q6/17 (Security aspects of telecommunication services, networks, and Internet of Things)
 - Mobile and infrastructure aspects for 5G security

- \diamond Q7/17 (Secure application services)
 - Application/service aspects for 5G security
- \diamond Q8/17 (Cloud computing security)
 - Cloud computing and big data infrastructure for 5G security
- ♦ Q11/17 (Generic technologies to support secure applications)
 - Cryptographic profiles for 5G security
- \diamond Q13/17 (Security aspects for ITS)
 - ITS security for 5G
- GSMA
 - The NESAS was built following third party testing and test equipment based on 3GPP TS33 series test specifications (SCAS: Security Assurance Specifications). The GSMA, working to guarantee 5G network security, has proposed NESAS to the European Union Agency for Cyber Security (ENISA). This will complement the EU Toolbox, the European Commission cyber security policy, with the ongoing implementation of cyber security policies in the individual nations of Europe
 - The 5G Security Task Force (5GSTF) was established in November 2018 and it has held discussions on closing the standards gap from the point of view of implementation and operations. It published the following papers related to 5G security:
 - ▶ The 5G Security Task for
 - IR.77 Inter-operator IP Backbone Security Req. for Service and Inter-operator IP Backbone Providers (not available to the public)
 - ♦ NG.113 5G Roaming Guidelines
 - ♦ NG.116 Generic Network Slice Template

The 5GSTF has also published an introduction to Within the 5GSTF

The GSMA has also published on the web an introduction to 5G security, called Security the 5G era, which collects various studies on 5G security done by within the 5GSTF.

- NGMN
 - > Three reports published on 5G security
 - $\diamond~$ 5G Security Recommendations Package #1: Access Network / DoS
 - ♦ 5G Security Recommendations Package #2: Network Slicing
 - > Security Competence Team (SCT), established by the in May 2017
 - \diamond 5G E2E Architecture Framework Security requirements
 - ♦ Cellular V2X Security and privacy aspects
 - ♦ Network Capabilities Exposure Security aspects and requirements
 - \diamond 5G RAN Functional Decomposition Security of new interfaces

♦ Pre-commercial 5G Network Trials & Testing – Security Tests

4.7 Summary of 5G security standards trends

5G will be a more secure network than LTE through various security enhancements such as IMSI (SUPI) protection, U-Plane tamper detection, enhanced Home Control, introduction of SEPP, and DUCU separation. On the other hand, there are concerns about security threats due to new mechanisms introduced in 5G, such as SBA, virtualization, and network slicing. It is necessary to pay close attention to the discussions from the perspective of implementers and operators not only in 3GPP but also in industry organizations such as GSMA. In addition, in the study of the specifications for Release 16, which is scheduled to be frozen in March 2020, a technical report on security related to vertical LAN and V2X is being prepared (*).

It is considered necessary for the respective SWGs to follow the discussions of 3GPP SA3.

(*) https://www.3gpp.org/DynaReport/TSG-WG--S3.htm

5. Studies on 5G Security

This chapter discusses research related to three specific 5G use cases (IoT, Connected Vehicles, Fintech) from the general field of 5G security studies, summarizing the background and the results of a range of studies in each of the three use cases.

5.1 Use Case IoT Security

The 5GMF studied issues related to IoT security by examining research in IoT security papers published by both domestic and international organizations, beginning with a paper released by the 5GMF itself in October 2018 (1). Issues to be considered include topics related to 5G security that were found during the review of the research where improvements can be immediately provided, as well those topics, including development in specifications, where contribution of ideas may produce positive changes in future developments.

5.1.1. Glossary

(1) *Endpoint (EP):* A remote computing device that engages in two-way communications on a connected network, including but not limited to desktop or notebook PCs, smartphones, tablets, servers, workstations.

(2) *Peer*: In this context, a peer does not refer to an asymmetric relationship like with a client-server model, but terminals in a network model in which endpoints have equal relationships.

5.1.2. Overview of IoT Security Related Papers

In this section we will provide an overview of several papers related to IoT security.

5.1.2.1 IoT Security Guidelines (2)

The IoT Acceleration Consortium (IOTAC), the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI), and the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communication (MIC) in a paper published in July 2016 provided guidelines on the necessary security measures for IoT due to the unique characteristics of IoT and the basic principles of security by design as it relates to IoT devices, systems, and services in order to clarify the basic activities necessary from a security perspective.

The guidelines included the five guiding principles of policy, risk analysis, design, network connections and construction, and secure operations, as well as recommendations to the general public.

5.1.2.2General Framework for Secure IoT Systems (3)

This paper was published by the National Center of Internet Readiness and Strategy for Cybersecurity (NISC) in August 2016. This paper discussed a two-step approach to the specific requirements of IoT security, based upon the perspective of the general requirements for all IoT systems as the unique characteristics of specific to individual sectors in which the system will be deployed. The overall general framework introduced is based upon the basic principles of security by design.

5.1.2.3IoT Security Comprehensive Measures (4)

This paper was published by the MIC in October 2017 and based upon the NISC's general framework (3), it presents five concrete steps the nation can take to improve 5G security: vulnerability assessments, research and development, promotion of measures to the general public, human resource development, and international cooperation.

5.1.2.4The Cyber/Physical Security Framework (Draft) (5)

This paper, published by METI in April 2018, provides a general framework for the private sector of the measures needed to be taken to ensure security when using IoT or AI in order to realize initiatives such as "Society 5.0" and "Connected Industries." The necessary requirements and examples to counter security threats in the CPS are mapped to the definitions in the United States' National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Cyber Security Framework.

5.1.2.5IoT Safety/Security Development Guidelines (6)

The Information-Technology Promotion Agency, Japan (IPA) initially released this paper in May 2016 and published a revised version in April 2018. This paper focused on IoT system security design for software developers, providing IoT development security guidelines and discussing how to conduct threat analysis, different countermeasures, and how to detect vulnerabilities.

5.1.2.6Security Guidelines for Product Categories: IoT Gateway (7)

The Connected Consumer Device Security Council (CCDS) released this paper in June 2017. Based upon security evaluations of smart home systems, it provides a concrete process via IoT security guidelines to conduct security evaluations as well as describing a process to evaluate security for all types of IoT devices.

5.1.2.7IoT Security Guide Standards/Guideline Handbook (8)

The Japan Network Security Association (JNSA) released this paper in May 2018. It describes IoT security principals, norms, and standards that relevant domestic and international organizations have released to the public.

5.1.2.8IoT Security Check sheet (9)

This check sheet was published for firms planning to introduce IoT devices, providing IoT security guidelines on security considerations from an end user perspective.

5.1.2.9Draft NISTIR 8200 (10)

The US NIST released this paper in February 2018. This US government interagency report described the current state of international cyber security standards to close the gap between the current state of IoT and previous and ongoing research on security issues that has been already published

In it, eleven core cyber security areas are discussed, along with related examples of standards, along with an overview of IoT applications generally as well as five specific IoT applications based on specific fields, focusing on the objectives, risks, and threats as they relate to IoT cyber security.

5.1.2.10 Baseline Security Recommendations for IoT in the context of Critical Information Infrastructures (11)

The European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA) released this paper in November 2017. The aim of the paper is to provide baseline recommendations for IoT security operations in Europe, including IoT paradigm, threat and risk analysis, security measures and good practices, gap analysis, and detailed recommendations.

5.1.2.11 Security Guidance for Early Adopters of the Internet of Things (12)

The Cloud Security Alliance, a non-profit organization that through international activities develops recommendations for best practices to realize cloud computing security, released this paper in February 2016. This paper's security guidelines are written for early adopters of IoT and discusses goals, threats to IoT towards individuals and organizations, challenges to providing secure IoT deployments, recommended security controls, and future efforts towards a secure IoT.

5.1.2.12 OWASP IoT Top 10 (13)

The Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP), an online community whose goal is to provide freely available technical articles and documents on web application security,

published the original list in 2014 and updated it in December 2018. Listing the top 10 vulnerabilities of IoT devices, the original 2014 version focused on web applications generally, while revised 2018 list focused on IoT specific vulnerabilities.

5.1.2.13 Technical Specification TS-0003 Security Solutions (14)

The international organization OneM2M, which formulates policies for architecture as well as requirements for M2M and IoT technology, released document on technical specifications for security solutions based on global M2M standards. Specifications were defined for OneM2M security architecture, authentication, authorization, ID management and security framework, and privacy protection.

5.1.2.14 IoT Security Guidelines (15)

The GSMA, an industry organization which represents mobile operators and related businesses from over 200 countries, released these proposals in October 2017. It provides IoT security guidelines recommended by the GSMA and is made up of four documents, a summary and security guidelines for services, endpoints, and networks. The proposals provide mobile network solutions, including those related to IoT system availability, identification, and other privacy and security issues.

5.1.3 Topics Extracted

Many IoT security related papers have been released by international and domestic organizations and they have had different aims and complexity, as can be seen by those noted in section 5.1.1. For example, the NISC's General Framework for Secure IoT Systems (3) is written from a high-level perspective and provides a general framework necessary for an entire network, while the OneM2M Technical Specifications (14) provides specifications for security solutions for a specific type of IoT system, the OneM2M standard for M2M technology.

The 5GMF Security Studies Ad Hoc released its own principals that deeply considered the issues of 5G and its connections with IoT (16). Considering all the papers listed in 5.1.1 that discuss solutions to IoT system security issues as they relate to using mobile networks, the paper that is closest to the point of view of the 5GMF study is the GSMA IoT Security Guidelines. (15) Due to this, we want to discuss topics in the other papers we have considered as they relate to issues raised in the GSMA IoT Security Guidelines.

5.1.3.1Issues listed in the GSMA IoT Security Guidelines

The GSMA IoT Security Guide CLP11-v2.0 overview document describes four challenges to IoT security:

- Availability
- Identity
- Privacy
- Security

5.1.3.2Availability

The GSMA asks the following questions on the availability challenge in their IoT Security Guidelines:

- 1. How can Low Power Wide Area (LPWA) networks (e.g. NB-IoT and LTE-M) be deployed and operated with a similar level of security to traditional cellular systems?
- 2. How can multiple mobile operators support the same level of network security as IoT Endpoints migrate across network boundaries?
- 3. How can network trust be *forwarded* to capillary Endpoints that rely on Gateway Endpoints for communication?
- 4. How can the power constraints of Lightweight Endpoints be addressed in secure communications environments?

5.1.3.3 Identity

The GSMA asks the following questions on the identity challenge in their IoT Security Guidelines:

- 1. Can the user operating the Endpoint be strongly associated with the Endpoint's identity?
- 2. How can services and peers verify the identity of the end-user by verifying the identity of the Endpoint?
- 3. Will Endpoint security technology be capable of securely authenticating peers and services?
- 4. Can rogue services and peers impersonate authorized services and peers?
- 5. How is the identity of a device secured from tampering or manipulation?
- 6. How can the Endpoint and Network ensure that an IoT Service is permitted to access the Endpoint?

5.1.3.4 Privacy

The GSMA asks the following questions on the privacy challenge in their IoT Security Guidelines:

- 1. Is the identity of an Endpoint exposed to unauthorized users?
- 2. Can unique Endpoint or IoT Service identifiers allow an end-user or Endpoint to be physically monitored or tracked?

- 3. Is data emanating from an Endpoint or IoT Service indicative of or directly associated with physical end-user attributes such as location, action, or a state, such as *sleeping* or *awake*?
- 4. Is confidentiality and integrity employed with sufficient security to ensure that patterns in the resultant cipher-text cannot be observed?
- 5. How does the product or service store or handle user-specific Personally Identifiable Information (PII)?
- 6. Can the end-user control the storage or use of PII in the IoT Service or product?
- 7. Can the security keys and security algorithms used to secure the data be refreshed?

5.1.3.5Security

The GSMA asks the following questions on the security challenge in their IoT Security Guidelines:

- 1. Are security best practices incorporated into the product or service at the start of the project?
- 2. Is the security life cycle incorporated into the Software or Product Development Life Cycle?
- 3. Is application security being applied to both services and applications running on the embedded system?
- 4. Is a Trusted Computing Base (TCB) implemented in both the Endpoint and the Service Ecosystem?
- 5. How does the TCB enforce self-verification of application images and services?
- 6. Can the Endpoint or IoT Service detect if there is an anomaly in its configuration or application?
- 7. How are Endpoints monitored for anomalies indicative of malicious behavior?
- 8. How is authentication and identity tied to the product or service security process?
- 9. What incident response plan is defined for detected anomalies indicative of a compromise?
- 10. How are services and resources segmented to ensure a compromise can be contained quickly and effectively?
- 11. How are services and resources restored after a compromise?
- 12. Can an attack be spotted?
- 13. Can a compromised system component be spotted?
- 14. How can customers report security concerns?
- 15. Can Endpoints be updated or patched to remove vulnerabilities?

5.1.4. Overview of New Security Functions in 5G

Many new forms of security with arrive with the introduction of 5G as compared to previous mobile networks up to and including 4G-LTE. These are listed in a different section, however, to assist in clarifying the issues to be discussed, we want to briefly reintroduce the new security functions to be introduced with 5G, based upon "Key Points of 5G Security" by NEC'S Anand R. Prasad. (17)

5.1.4.1 Primary Authentication

Mutual authentication between UE and mobile networks occurred using a single mechanism, Authentication and Key Agreement (AKA), up to and including in 4G-LTE. However, as it is possible to customize this in 5G, an extension of the previously used AKA as well as the Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP)-AKA, building upon the EAP framework, can be used. While previously it was necessary to support a different authentication mechanism to access non-3GPP technologies such as Wi-Fi, with 5G it will be possible to use the same mechanism when accessing 3GPP or non-3GPP technologies.

In addition, in special cases it is possible to use a another EAP method besides EAP-AKA for authentication on private networks.

5.1.4.2Credential Storage

In order to safely store credentials with high level of sensitivity such as private key K used in AKA it has been standard to use UICC, however with 5G it will be possible to offer an option of credential storage option using a secure hardware storage platform

5.1.4.3 Secondary Authorization

Secondary Authorization is a mechanism offering authorization via data networks that exist outside the mobile network for UE that are authorized on a mobile network. Secondary authorization is realized by implementing an authorization flow from a different EAP method, such as EAP-AKA on the data network as an authenticator for UE functioning on the mobile network.

5.1.4.4Inter-operator Security

Vulnerabilities have been found with SS7 and Diameter, which are currently used to facilitate inter-operator communication. In order to provide more secure inter-operator communications in 5G a new mechanism, called Secure Edge Protection Proxy (SEPP), will be introduced.

5.1.4.5Privacy

Until 4G-LTE, mobile network subscribers' unique identifier, ISMI, was transmitted as plain text, leaving the chance that their privacy could be compromised via tracking. IMSI has been replaced in 5G with the randomly encrypted Subscription Concealed ID (SUCI), generated from the network operator public key Subscription Permanent ID (SUPI), making it possible to protect subscriber privacy.

5.1.4.6Service Based Architecture (SBA)

5G will introduce adequate security with the adoption of an architecture based on various services used in the core network.

5.1.4.7Central Unit (CU) - Distributed Unit (DU)

In 5G there will be the possibility in certain use cases that presumes a base station will be deployed in an unsafe location that the base station can be constituted as two entities, CU and DU, in which the flow of sensitive information will be retained in the CU.

5.1.4.8Key Hierarchy

As previously stated, 5G will use a different key hierarchy as compared to the current mobile networks.

5.1.4.9 Mobility

Mobility itself will work the same as in 4G-LTE, however in 5G it is possible that the core network anchor is not in a secure environment, so secure mobility between anchor points is also necessarily provisioned in 5G.

5.1.4.10 Network Slicing

5G networks are split into different "slices" that will occupy different functions in order to meet the diverse trends in requirements for mobile networks, which therefore provides the opportunity to offer an appropriate security environment on one "slice" that does not influence other "slices" on the same network.

5.1.5. Addressing Issues Related to 5G Security Functions

In this section, the new security features in 5G used that were introduced in section 5.1.2.1 are considered as they relate to the GSMA security challenges introduced in section 5.1.3. Following section 5.1.2.1, the issues that have been extracted from the previously noted

research papers were considered to the related GSMA security guidelines to which they are related.

The IoT security issues discussed in this section should continue to be studied in relation to 5G networks in the future.

5.1.5.1Availability

1. How can Low Power Wide Area (LPWA) networks (e.g.NB-IoT and LTE-M) be deployed and operated with a similar level of security to traditional cellular systems?

While up until 4G it was necessary for an entire mobile network to have the same security functions, in 5G due to the possibility of network slicing, it is possible to provide flexibility necessary low-end devices such as LPWA without influence from other parts of the network. [5.1.3.10]

2. How can multiple mobile operators support the same level of network security as IoT Endpoints migrate across network boundaries?

It is possible to be realized in 5G through inter-operator related constructions [5.1.3.4] [5.1.3.9]

3. How can network trust be forwarded to capillary Endpoints that rely on Gateway Endpoints for communication?

It is possible to provide direct access with 5G even low-end hardware with limited processing power. [5.1.3.10]

Supposing an EP that is dependent on GW still exists going forward, the issue of how to offer protective measures will continue to exist, however.

4. *How can the power constraints of Lightweight Endpoints be addressed in secure communications environments?*

In 5G, lightweight endpoint devices with power constraints will also be able to support network slicing. [5.1.3.10]

5.1.5.2Authentication

1. Can the user operating the Endpoint be strongly associated with the Endpoint's identity? In the case when the endpoint user =network subscriber, it is possible to associate the two on the network. [5.1.3.3]

However, especially in regard to IoT, it is thought that the endpoint user and network subscriber will not be the same and it will continue to be an issue if this is the case.

2. How can services and peers verify the identity of the end-user by verifying the identity of the Endpoint?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 1 above.

3. Will Endpoint security technology be capable of securely authenticating peers and services?

In 5G, it will be possible to use mutual authentication between the endpoint and authorized peers/services as secondary authentication. [5.1.3.3]

4. Can rogue services and peers impersonate authorized services and peers?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 3 above.

5. How is the identity of a device secured from tampering or manipulation?

In 5G it is possible to use for secure storage a credential storage device other than UICC,

which will ensure it is protected from tampering or manipulation. [5.1.3.2]

6. *How can the Endpoint and Network ensure that an IoT Service is permitted to access the Endpoint?*

In 5G, it will be possible to solve this through secondary authentication via mutual authentication between the UE and the IoT device that exists outside the Mobile Network [5.1.3.3]

5.1.5.3 Privacy

1. Is the identity of an Endpoint exposed to unauthorized users?

SUPI in 5G corresponds to IMSI in 4G-LTE as a way to differentiate subscribers, however it will be concealed and the use of SUCI that is created from the random encryption of the operator public key should ensure that the endpoint identity on the mobile network is not leaked. [5.1.3.5]

2. Can unique Endpoint or IoT Service identifiers allow an end-user or Endpoint to be physically monitored or tracked?

The answer is the same as provided in question 1 above. [5.1.3.5]

3. Is data emanating from an Endpoint or IoT Service indicative of or directly associated with physical end-user attributes such as location, action, or a state, such as sleeping or awake? It is possible to achieve this in a 5G mobile network using an encryption process that takes into account the security of the entire mobile network.

4. Is confidentiality and integrity employed with sufficient security to ensure that patterns in the resultant cipher-text cannot be observed?

It is possible to achieve this in a 5G mobile network by using an encryption method that takes into account network security.

5. How does the product or service store or handle user-specific Personally Identifiable Information (PII)?

This topic cannot be considered as it is not known whether or not the product or service is dependent on PII for processing or storage.

6. Can the end-user control the storage or use of PII in the IoT Service or product?

The answer is the same as provided in question 5 above.

7. Can the security keys and security algorithms used to secure the data be refreshed?

In 5G phase 2 studies are planed for a possible method to update the long-term valid key (The K shared by UICC and the core network) that would make this possible.

5.1.5.4Security

1. Are security best practices incorporated into the product or service at the start of the project?

Services are outside the scope of this study. In addition, security best practices shared by different feature components offered as 5G core functions are discussed in the 3 GPP SCAS (Security Assurance Specification) framework.

2. Is the security life cycle incorporated into the Software or Product Development Life Cycle?

The answer to this question is the same as provided in question 1 above.

3. Is application security being applied to both services and applications running on the embedded system?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 1 above, however this may become an option with from studies on offering testing facilities on the state of application security on 5G networks.

4. Is a Trusted Computing Base (TCB) implemented in both the Endpoint and the Service *Ecosystem*?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 3 above.

5. How does the TCB enforce self-verification of application images and services?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 3 above.

6. Can the Endpoint or IoT Service detect if there is an anomaly in its configuration or application?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 3 above.

7. How are Endpoints monitored for anomalies indicative of malicious behavior?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 3 above.

8. How is authentication and identity tied to the product or service security process?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 1 above.

9. What incident response plan is defined for detected anomalies indicative of a compromise?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 1 above.

10. How are services and resources segmented to ensure a compromise can be contained quickly and effectively?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 1 above.

11. How are services and resources restored after a compromise?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 1 above.

12. Can an attack be spotted?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 1 above.

13. Can a compromised system component be spotted?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 1 above.

14. How can customers report security concerns?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 1 above.

15. Can Endpoints be updated or patched to remove vulnerabilities?

The answer to this question is the same as provided to question 1 above.

5.1.6 Results of the Survey of Concrete Solutions to problems with IoT

This section will discuss specific solutions to questions concerning IoT that were raised in the previous section.

5.1.6.1 Availability 1: Safe deployment and operation of an LPWA network

Problem. How can Low Power Wide Area (LPWA) networks (e.g.NB-IoT and LTE-M) be deployed and operated with a similar level of security to traditional cellular systems?

Realizing a LPWA network will require a radio technology that can cover a range of many kilometers without consuming a lot of power. The requirements to deploy and operate such a network include being able to offer an accommodating telecommunications environment with a battery that can function for 15 years to meet the strong existing demand for such a low-level power system. To provide network security in such an environment it will be necessary to use lightweight encryption that uses an algorithm that does not consume a lot of power. However, up until 4G there has only been one kind of algorithm used to safeguard communication between the UE and the core network, which has made it difficult to provide such a low power consuming technology necessary for IoT.



Figure 5.1.1 Four Established Slice Achievement Value Targets for 5G

5.1.6.1.1 Measures for 5G

Network slicing has been introduced with 5G and as each separate "slice" acts independently of each other on the network such that they do not adversely affect each other it is now possible to change the algorithm that is used. Within the following four 5G use cases (as shown in figure 5.1.1) mMTC already has slice configurations that have been defined for use with LPWA networks:

1.eMBB 2.URLLC 3.mMTC 4.V2X

5.1.6.1.2 Considerations for IoT Platform Operators

IoT platform operators, regarding this topic, should confirm which existing slice configurations which are suitable for applications could be offered as well as which possible configurations are appropriate for their platforms.

5.1.6.1 Availability 2: Roaming security between multiple operators

Problem: How can multiple mobile operators support the same level of network security as IoT Endpoints migrate across network boundaries?

A vulnerability in the Diameter protocol was revealed in 2018, which has been utilized in in mobile networks through 4G to facilitate roaming, whereby subscriber information could be leaked. The possible attacks that could occur due to this vulnerability include:

- Subscriber information disclosure
- Network information disclosure
- Fraud
- Denial of service attacks on subscribers

5.1.6.2.1 Measures that can be taken in 5G

To counter this vulnerability a new network element has been introduced in 5G, called Secure Edge Protection Proxy (SEPP). SEPP, deployed at the individual operator's network edge, offers the following functions:

• Ensures completely secure and confidential singling traffic during exchanges between different operators

- Topology hiding
- Filtering functions based on a firewall

In addition, the 5G primary authentication framework 5G-AKA/EAP-AKA', that supports both 3GPP access networks and non-3GPP access networks like Wi-Fi, also has the merit of preventing fraud in roaming scenarios with the establishment of a consistent authentication environment.

5.1.6.2.2 Considerations for IoT Platform Operators

Since this section is limited to actions between 3GPP operators, there is nothing that needs to concern IoT platform operators

5.1.6.2. Availability 3: Forwarding Trust to Capillary Endpoints.

Problem: How can network trust be forwarded to capillary Endpoints that rely on Gateway Endpoints for communication?

Capillary endpoints cannot directly communicate to the cloud but must have a device to act as a gateway. In this configuration, it is necessary to offer a solution as an attack can be made on the existing capillary network from the trusted gateway that is established on the cloud network

Since in 5G low performance, energy saving endpoints, such as a LPWA network device that can directly access the cloud as mentioned before, are being considered, basically this situation is not anticipated to be an issue.

However, the need for a solution to establishing trusted capillary endpoints remains. as there are scenarios that exist that require a gateway that requires more than a low performance, energy saving device.

5.1.6.3.1 Issues for IoT Platform Operators to Consider

For scenarios in which gateways are needed for targeted applications, solutions are needed for extended gateways that can establish trust on the network side.

5.1.6.3 Availability 4: Power Constraint Measures for Lightweight Endpoints in Secure Communication Environments

Problem: How can the power constraints of Lightweight Endpoints be addressed in secure communications environments?

5.1.6.4.1 Power Constraint Measures for Lightweight Endpoints Considered by the 3GPP for General 5G Functions

The 3GPP is studying possible solutions (21) for the following major issues concerning Cellular IoT (CIoT) support in 5G that specifically relate to power constraints:

- 5.4 Key Issue 4: Power Saving Functions
- 5.5 Key Issue 5: UE TX Power Saving Functions

The following solutions to these problems are being considered:

- Solution 8: Enhancing MICO for Mobile terminated data/signaling
- Solution 9: Enhanced MICO mode with Active Time
- Solution 22: eDRX for CM-IDLE state in 5GS
- Solution 23: MICO Mode Management for Expected Application Behavior
- Solution 32: MO Data Buffering in the UE
- Solution 33: Delayed Paging Response
- Solution 34: Provisioning of UE TX power saving parameters
- Solution 38: eDRX RRC_INACTIVE STATE in 5GS
- Solution 41: Combining RRC-INACTIVE and 5G UP optimization



Figure 5.1.2 5G NR RRC Transition Diagram

In addition, in 5G a RCC INACTIVE status rule will be added to the existing RCC IDLE status and RCC CONNECTED status rules that have been in existence up until 4G (see figure 5.1.2). The RCC INACTIVE status is planned to retain the same power saving functions in the UE as the RCC IDLE status does while retaining the RRC and NAS context in the base station/CN and UE.

The 3GPP SA3, which oversees security studies for the aforementioned realization of regular 5G functions has defined the following security solutions:

1. Security handling in transitions between RRC INACTIVE and RRC CONNECTED (TS33.501 6.8.2.1)

- The SC storage procedure during INACTIVE transitions and SC recovery method during CONNECTED transitions
 - Transition to gNB: same/different case
- 2. Key Handing during mobility in RRC INACTIVE state (TS33.501 6.8.2.2)
 - Realize notifications to the network UE when transitioning from the configured RNA
5.1.6.4.2 Issues for IoT Platform Operators to Consider

This section discusses the expectations for power saving methods in basic processing for communications between gNB/ng-ENB and the communication processor, however in order to realize the following UE operations the support of the application processor is necessary, therefore AP specification definitions are necessary.

As transferring from RCC CONNECTED to RCC INACTIVE is to be implemented with gNB/ng-eNB, the transfer instruction at the UE, following 33.501 6.8.2.1.2, implements the RCC INACTIVE state transfer process

• In the case of transferring from the RCC INACTIVE to RCC CONNECTED, the UE, following 33.501 6.8.2.1.3, implements the transfer process

5.1.6.4. Identification/Authentication 1: The Possibility of Strongly Associating the User Operated Endpoint and the Endpoint Identity

Problem: Can the user operating the Endpoint be strongly associated with the Endpoint's identity?

The user operated endpoint is the use case where MNO offers subscriber services and the endpoint where operations are conducted is on an individual smartphone, making it possible for it to be associated with the DB (UDR functions in the 5G core) that the MNO utilizes.

In other cases, this is not offered currently by the 5G core, so it is necessary to provide a solution.



5.1.6.5.1 Solution example: Secondary Authentication Use

Figure 5.1.3 Outline of 5G Secondary Authentication

Secondary authentication in 5G, occurring after primary authentication of communications between the UE and 5GC, provides authentication between the UE and the AAA server operating on the DN.

The endpoint, utilizing the same function, can voluntarily conduct EAP authorization between the AAA server on the DN and the endpoint, making it possible to strengthen the association between the user and endpoint by requesting the appropriate authorization credential from the endpoint user during this EAP authorization process

5.1.6.5.2 Considerations for IoT Platform Operators

IoT platform operators need to consider the following regarding solution discussed in 5.1.6.5.1

- The authorization method for the user operated endpoint
- The EAP method to implement

5.1.6.5. Identification/Authentication 2: Is Secure Authentication for Peers and Services with Endpoint Security Technology Possible?

Problem: How can services and peers verify the identity of the end-user by verifying the identity of the Endpoint?

When considering the secure authentication of peers and services with endpoint security technology, it is important to consider what kind of endpoints with which the peers and services will be communicating.

IoT can be largely divided into the following two types of general uses. (Diagram 5.1.4)

- 1. Connections via a server
 - Communications received at the target EP via a temporary relay server
- 2. Direct connections to a local network
 - Communications between EP are directly handled on the local network via Wi-Fi/Bluetooth/ZigBee etc.



Figure 5.1.4 Typical forms of communication between endpoints

Case 1 is the standard form that IoT will take, in which communication is through a relay sever such as OneM2M. IoT services offered through cloud providers such as AWS or Azure is also a standard method of providing communications between endpoints.

An example of IoT services are provided via direct connections is the IETF ACE (23). Weave, a standard developed by Alphabet's Nest Labs (24), also provides support for direct endpoint communications.

There are two ways to provide secure authentication between endpoints and peers and services: secondary authorization as offered in 5G and AKMA.

5.1.6.6.1 Secondary Authentication

As shown in figure 5.1.3, secondary authentication can be securely realized using a EAP protocol to provide secure authentication between a UE endpoint and a AAA server that is on a DN in the cloud

In addition, an IoT platform that connects via server such as OneM2M, the same AAA server can carry out the role of PEP/PDP through a central server or a designated relay server, which can provide a central control to determine whether to provide secure access based on the access control policy.

5.1.6.6.2 AKMA

AKMA (Authentication and Key Management for Application Functions), is an extension in 5G of the Generic Bootstrapping Architecture) that has existed in 4G, a function of standards provided by the 3GPP SA3.



Figure 5.1.5 Overview of Key Sharing between NAF and UE via GBA

The process of secret key sharing between the Network Access Function (NAF) and the UA under GBA, which had the same value as AKMA until 5G, is shown in figure 5.1.5. Key sharing successfully occurs between the UE and the NAF after the UE generates a new shared key to provide authentication with the base of 4G AKA through the BootStrapping Function (BSF), and this shared key, newly generated by from the BSF, is offered to and received by the NAF.

As shown in figure 5.1.5, the 5G core functions that are carried out by HSS and BSF represent a major change from 4G. The figure shows the possibility of a different architecture which these same functions can provide security authentication between the endpoint and peer/service that is planned to be offered by AKMA. This is suitable from the perspective of services provided by IoT platforms in which direct communication is not a centralized function of a central server.

Authentication and access management can be realized simultaneously via secondary authentication on the DN's AAA services conducted by PED/PDP. However, as shown in figure 5.1.5 with AKMA, it is possible to offer a shared key to a NAF using GUSS, making it possible it is possible to for the BSF to make access management decisions.

5.1.6.6.3. Considerations for IoT Platform Operators

More studies are needed for communications between endpoints on IoT platforms that operators will offer that will need to implement authentication processes between peers and services and endpoints.

5.1.6.6 Identification/Authentication 3: Preventing Tampering/Manipulation of Device Identity

Problem: How is the identity of a device secured from tampering or manipulation?

It is not possible to prevent physical tampering or manipulation to a device that is installed in a location that anyone can access where there is no physical security.

This type of physical attack was prevented in networks from GSM until 3G and 4G by secret key K that was used to connect mobile phone networks that was stored on a tamper resistant IC card. With 5G, a general security storage concept has been introduced with storage that is resistant to physical attacks.

The security storage on which contract credentials to be used on the 5G network access are kept as well as their processing requirements, as set out in TS33.501 5.24, are listed below:

- Subscription credentials shall be integrally protected using tamper resistant security hardware components
- The long-term key(s) of the subscription credential(s) (i.e.K) shall be confidentiality protected using a tamper resistant secure hardware component.
- The long-term key(s) of the subscription credential(s) shall never be available in the clear outside of the tamper resistant secure hardware component.
- The authentication algorithm(s) that make use of the subscription credentials shall always be executed within the tamper resistant secure hardware component.

5.1.6.7.1 Considerations for IoT Platform Operators

IoT devices offered by IoT platform operators that manage and operate their devices with their own unique credential information should have storage that is resistant to physical attack as in 5G. The following two candidates can be considered for implementing this kind of storage:

- 1. System on a Chip (SoC)
 - Cortex-M TrustZone
- 2. Independent encryption authentication device
 - Smartcards
 - ATECC508/608

5.1.6.7 Privacy 1: Is the Endpoint Identity Revealed to Unauthorized Users?

Problem: Is the identity of an Endpoint exposed to unauthorized users?

Although it had been considered up until 4G to replace the standard IMSI with the random value Temporary Mobile Subscriber Identity (TMSI) in order to prevent tracking via a permanent identifier, under certain circumstances ISMI was transmitted in plain text, making it possible that the endpoint identity could be revealed.

In 5G this has been rectified as the SUPI is never transmitted in plain text, rather only the randomly encrypted SUCI with the home network public key is transmitted, preventing the possibility that the endpoint identity could be revealed to an unauthorized user.

5.1.6.8.1 Points for IoT Platform Operators to Consider

In order to provide a solution in the case in which endpoints and services connected to an IoT platform have, unlike in 5G, independent identity assignments or uses, IoT operators need to implement the same considerations as in 5G.

Implement identity transmission only with secure encrypted transmissions with TLS.
 Adopting the equivalent method as in 5G for protection in the case that the identity is transmitted in plain text

5.1.6.8 Privacy 2: Is It Possible for Location Data Released from the Endpoint or IoT Services be Associated with the End User?

Problem: Is data emanating from an Endpoint or IoT Service indicative of or directly associated with physical end-user attributes such as location, action, or a state, such as sleeping or awake?

This situation can be prevented from occurring by guaranteeing secure confidentiality and integral protection over the entire network. In 5G, the following confidential/integral algorithms to ensure confidentiality and integrity have been defined:

- Ensuring confidentiality
- 1. NEA0
 - Unencrypted (key stream is 0 and xor calculation in plain text)
 - 2.128-NEA1
 - Stream cipher snow 3G stream devised by Lund University's T. Johansson and P. Ekdahl
 - 3.128-NEA2
 - CTR128bit AES
 - 4. 128-NEA3
 - 16 stage LFSR (Linear Feedback Shift Register) configuration stream cipher ZUC
 - Ensuring Integrity
- 1. NIA0
 - Non integral protection (generated from 32bit Mac with message 0)
- 2. 128-NIA1
 - Generated from 32bit mac from SNOW 3G
- 3. 128-NIA2

• Generated from 32bit MAC from CMAC mode 128 bit

4. 128-NIA3





Figure 5.1.6 5G Core Conceptual Diagram

To solve this problem, algorithms of the above mentioned option 2 must be used to ensure both confidentiality and integrity. It should be noted that these protections can only be applied in 5G, so user plane transmissions are only protected from the UE to the UPF (Fig. 5.1.6).

5.1.6.9.1 Points for IoT Platform Operators to Consider

As explained in the previous section, IoT platform operators will need to consider additional measures to ensure their network is secure when communicating with external data networks that connect to the user plane function through the N6 interface. Below is a list of options that can be considered.

- Secure end to end sessions between the UE and DN
 - IPsec, TLS
 - Use 5G's existing security functions
- Existing 5G security capabilities with extra support
 - Use secondary authentication or AKMA with an IKE or TLS HS shared key between UE and DN
- Secure communication between UPF and DN
 - Secure session infrastructure between UPF and DN
 - SSH Port Forwarding
- Physically deploy UPF and DN next to each other
 - Edge computing

5.1.6.10 Privacy 3: Is confidentiality and integrity completely and securely provided though the inability to detect any patterns in the cipher texts?

Problem: Is confidentiality and integrity employed with sufficient security to ensure that patterns in the resultant cipher-text cannot be observed?

This can be resolved by offering the second to fourth algorithms that are listed in section 5.1.6.9 to ensure confidentiality, or an equivalent algorithm, over the entire network.

5.1.6.10.1 Points for IoT Platform Operators to Consider

Same as in 5.1.6.9.1

5.1.6.11 Privacy 4: Can the security keys used to secure data as well as the security algorithm be refreshed?

Problem: Can the security keys and security algorithms used to secure the data be refreshed?

5.1.6.11.1 Refreshing Security keys

The 3GPP SA3 is considering this issue in the Study on Long Term Key Update Procedures and the following two candidate solutions have been released in TR 33.935-101 1.Diffe-Hellman based Key agreement over SIM OTA

2. Multiple sets of parameters on the USIM

5.1.6.11.2 Refreshing Security Algorithms

As stated above, the 3GPP SA3 has currently defined several different kinds of algorithms to ensure confidentiality and integrity.

- Integrity: NIA1~NIA3
- Confidentiality: NEA1~NEA3

Beginning with 3G, it has been possible for algorithms to be supplemented and refreshed multiple times, so the 3GPP foresees no problems occurring with the possibility of refreshing algorithms in 5G, as well.

5.1.6.11.3 Points for IoT Platform Operators to Consider

IoT platform operators need to consider the situation related to refreshing algorithms or encryption if they decided to use their own algorithm or encryption method on their platforms.

5.1.6.12 Security 1: Are security best practices included in products and services from the start of any project?

Problem: Are security best practices incorporated into the product or service at the start of the project?

The 3GPP, which oversees 5G standards, has been working on two frameworks, the Security Assurance Specification (SCAS) and the Security Assurance Methodology (SECAM), to provide network product security assurance for telecoms to use.

Work on SCAS and SECAM include the following:

- SCAS
 - Studies are being conducted on the special characteristics of 3GPP network products and the threat model towards those products along with studies into methodologies to provide network product security assurance.
- SECAM
 - Plan for SCAS in every network product
 - Network product security and network product R&D and compliance evaluation for managing the network product lifecycle.

The 3GPP, as seen in this process, is taking into consideration best security practices throughout the research and development stage for products that will be used in the 5G core network.

5.1.6.12.1 Points for IoT Platform Operators to Consider

Operators will need to consider equally the 3GPP's SCAS/SECAM with the products and services that use their platforms.

5.1.7 Overview of Related Work Items with the 3GPP

5.1.3.1AKMA

The research into the key issues related to AKMA in TR 33.835-200, which was sent to SA#86 for approval, has been completed. Work on the technical specifications (TS) are now planned to begin based on the conclusions of TR 33.835-200, which has been given the the working title "Authentication and key management for applications based on 3GPP credential in 5G" (8). The aim of the TS based on the conclusions of the TR are as follows:

- Specify security architecture enhancements for 5G system to support AKMA
- Specify AKMA authentication procedures
- Specify AKMA key management procedures
- Specify the security related interfaces and corresponding protocols

5.1.3.2LTKUP

Study points for Long Term Key Update Procedures (LTKUP) are were still being considered as of the latest draft release of TR 33.935 in April 2019.

5.1.3.3SCAS

Security Assurance Specification (SCAS) was a study item at the 3GPP SA3 from December 20, 2012 to December 20, 2013, during which the special characteristics and threat models of 3GPP network products were studied. The following two methodologies to ensure network product security were considered:

- 1. Common Criteria (ISO 15408) Standards
- 2. Proprietary method
 - Create an overall list of special characteristics and functions following an evaluation of the specified product and then determine the suitability with the security requirements based on the results of the threat analysis.
 - Prepare the SCAS based on each (class of) network products.

Option 2, a proprietary method, was adopted, although during the evaluation process the CC methodology was also utilized when deemed appropriate.

5.1.3.4SECAM

Security Assurance Methodology (SECAM) was allocated the following two tasks

- SCAS formulations for security standards as well as test specifications
- Network product security and network product R&D and compliance evaluation for managing the network product lifecycle.

The 3GPP is providing assistance with formulating, governing, and maintaining SECAM, but the organization currently responsible for SECAM is the GSM Association (GSMA) This body has formulated the following requirements and procedures for SECAM

- Certification for vendor network products as well as the network product lifecycle management process
- Certification for test labs (for authorized vendors and third parties)
- Dispute resolution

5.1.8 Review of IoT Security Use Cases

IoT security papers from domestic and international sources were studied and issues were extracted, focusing on GSMA documents, in which further studies are necessary as related to issues concerning 5G. Through this analysis, the following applications that have been newly added to 5G were found to be able to solve issues related to IoT security.

- Network Slicing
- Secondary Authentication
- Privacy Considerations

Based on the results of this, measures to counter the specific, representative issues are collected in Table 5.1 below:

Problem	Answers
Can LPWA networks operate with the	Yes, with the flexible support of mMTC
same level of security as traditional	slice using 5G's network slicing
cellular networks?	functionality.
Can the same level of network security be	Yes, security can be ensured with inter-
offered with IoT devices used across	operator mobility using SEPP (Secure
several mobile operators?	Edge Protection Proxy).
Can network trust be forwarded to	This is not a concern as lightweight
endpoints that rely on communications	endpoints can communicate directly with
with gateway endpoints?	each other.

Con linktoninkt og hunint og som lingite	This will be accessible with the edention of
Can lightweight endpoint power limits	This will be possible with the adoption of
that can be used in secure communication	proposed solutions for issues related to
environments be avoided?	lightweight endpoints that have power
	limits
Can the identity of an endpoint be strongly	Yes, users can be associated with
associated with users who operate the	endpoints through the use of 5G secondary
endpoints?	authentication.
Can endpoint security technology safely	Yes, services can be safely authenticated
authenticate peers and services?	through functions such as 5G secondary
	authentication and AKMA.
Can device identity be secured from	Yes, it is possible to ensure this as secure
tampering or manipulation?	storage other than the UICC can be used
	with 5G's credential storage functionality.
Can endpoint identity be revealed to	No, as endpoint identity leakage in 5G
unauthorized users?	networks can be avoided because 5G
	networks use the more anonymous SUCI
	rather than IMSI, which can be developed
	to be used by services, as well.
Can data released from endpoints and IoT	In 5G networks, encryption is utilized
services, such as physical end user	across the entire mobile network to take
attributes (location, behaviors such as one	account of security. This can also be built
is asleep or awake) be directly displayed or	into services, as well.
implied?	
Does the encryption process provide a	In 5G networks, encryption is utilized
sufficient level of protection to	across the entire mobile network to take
confidentially and integrity, such that the	account of security. This can also be built
pattern in the existing cypher-text cannot	into services, as well.
be observed.	
Can the security keys used to secure data	The ability to refresh long term keys (K
as well as the security algorithms be	shared between UICC and core networks)
refreshed?	in 5G is being considered and the ability to
	build this functionality into services is also
	being considered
Are security best practices incorporated	Activities have been conducted using
into the product or service at the start of	3GPP SCAS (Security Assurance
the	Specification) framework for 5G core
project?	products. This can also be considered when
	building services, as well.
	Summing 501 v 1005, ab well.

5.2 Use Case Connected Vehicle Security

5.2.1 Overview

Automobiles that are equipped with the ability to connect via a network to the larger transportation infrastructure, such as other vehicles or traffic signals, is one service that will be realized in the age of 5G. Connected vehicles are expected to bring about more efficient traffic patterns, safer streets, and new services. These new services are expected to be developed and introduced out of a variety of related fields, such as infrastructure and safety. However, networking capabilities also comes with the reality of unknown threats, therefore it is necessary to prepare countermeasures to ensure the security of the network. With this in mind, the 5GMF Planning Committee established the Security Research Adhoc in July 2018, followed after further preparations by the establishment of the Security Research Committee in July 2019. These activities were carried out to perform studies to better understand security issues from the services expected in the age of 5G, specifically targeting security issues that were related to the fields of IoT, Connected Vehicles, and Fintech. This section reports on the results of research in the field of Connected Vehicles, including services that are to be realized with the development of Connected Vehicles, listing the different security issues that are related to the services that will be realized with Connected Vehicles and specifying what issues need further study in regard to 5G. This chapter is organized as follows: this introduction to the chapter; section 5.2.2, which lists the results of research by standards organizations in regards to connected vehicle security, a summary of the results of studies from industry organization that are provided in standards reports and guidelines, and a list of other relevant reports; section 5.2.3, which, following the security requirements that were outlined from the reports from relevant organizations listed in 5.2.2, lists some technical components used by 5G networks to realize Connected Vehicles and the security issues that arise from their use.



Issues: Examination the lifecycle of the service(Design, development, operation, etc.)

Figure 5.2.1 Study Background of this Report

5.2.2. Standards Related to Connected Vehicle Security

5.2.2.1. UNECE/WP.29

The United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) World Forum for Harmonization of Vehicle Regulations on Intelligent Transport Systems and Automated Driving (ITS/AD) established the Task Force on Cyber security and Over the Air (OTA), which began working in December 2016.

This task force formulated guidelines for cyber security as well as data protection. Guidelines, following general principals, were provided for data protection for personal data, safety for failsafe devices and functionality, and security related to unauthorized access and wiretapping and falsification of data, as shown in table 5.2.1, with guidelines provided for high level requirements. In addition to the above mentioned guidelines, specific studies were held focusing on cyber security and software updates, out of which the following two guidelines were positioned as draft regulations. (2018, revised in 2019)

Table 5.2.1	Overview of Guidelines for	Cyber Security and Data Protection.
10010 01-11	0,01,10,01,01,00,0101000,101	e joer seeming and Bata Freedomin

category	measures ensuring cybersecurity and data protection
Data protection	The principle of lawful, fair and transparent processing of personal data means in particular
	The means of anonymization and pseudonymization techniques shall be used.
	The collection and processing of personal data shall be limited to data that is relevant in the context of collection
	Introducing the concept of "privacy by design" or "privacy by default"
Safety	Standards for the functional safety of critical electric and electronic components or systems in vehicles such as ISO 26262 shall be applied
	Failsafe that does not affect the data in the CV or CV when connecting or communicating with the CV or a vehicle with autonomous driving technology.
	Prevention of unauthorized access to circuit infrastructure information and unauthorized alteration of software by cyber attacks via wireless communication and diagnostic ports
	Equipment with safe mode in the case of emergency
Security	The protection of connected vehicles and vehicles with autonomous driving technology requires verifiable security measures according security standards (e.g. ISO 27000 series, ISO/IEC 15408)
	Connected vehicles and vehicles with autonomous driving technology shall be equipped with integrity protection and managing cryptographic keys
	Mutual authentication in communication between control devices in connected vehicle or vehicle with autonomous driving technology to prevent from cyber attack to circuit infrastructure information by via wireless communication and diagnostic port
	Online Services for remote access into connected vehicles and vehicles with autonomous driving technology should have a strong mutual authentication and assure secure communication (confidential and integrity protected) between the entities.

5.2.2.1.1. Proposal for a Recommendation on Cyber Security [2]

Previous guidelines, targeting vehicle manufactures, provided basic policies for overall security for vehicle systems as well as networks and the cloud, threats and countermeasures and security management methodology in research and development, commercialization, and when manufacturing is discontinued. Within these guidelines, Annex A contains the draft for cyber security regulation for the UN.

Here is an overview of the basic principles listed in chapter 3 and the threats to vehicles systems in chapter 4. The basic principles listed in chapter three provides comprehensive principles on topics including: guidance for the management top layer, supply chains, thinking about defense in depth, defense, detection, and analysis of attacks, and security evaluation, as shown in table 5.2.2: Basic rules in the Cyber Security Report) Threats are listed in terms of the related vehicle components, as shown in see table 5.2.3 as well as table 5.2.4 to table 5.2.9. Especially in terms of threats related to software updates and connections to external components, awareness of the vehicle structure is essential. Among these, items related to communications and networks include threats related to

communications channels and external connections, as shown in tables 5.2.5 and 5.2.8. Countermeasures including anonymity, authentication and authorization, tamper proofing, and non-repudiation can ensure basic security if implemented.

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Preamble
 - 1.2. Scope
 - 1.3. Approach
- 2. Definitions (and abbreviations)
- 3. Cyber security principles
- 4. Threats to vehicle systems and ecosystem
- 5. Mitigations
- 6. Requirements for cyber security processes and how to evidence their application
- 7. Conclusion and Recommendation for further proceedings

Annexes

- A Draft proposal to introduce a UN Regulation on Cyber Security
- B List of threats and corresponding mitigation
- C List of Security Controls related to mitigations incl. examples
- D List of reference documents

Figure 5.2.2 Cyber Security Report Contents

Table 5.2.2 Basic Cyber Security Principles

section	cyber security principles
3.3.1	Organizational security should be owned, governed and promoted at the highest organizational level
3.3.2	Security risks are assessed and managed appropriately and proportionately, including those specific to the supply chain
3.3.3	Organizations should implement cyber security monitoring and incident response to ensure vehicle types are secure over their lifecycle;
3.3.4	All organizations, including sub-contractors, suppliers and potential 3rd parties, should work together to enhance the security of the system
3.3.5	The vehicle should be designed using a defense-in-depth approach. The vehicle manufacturer should design the vehicle architecture to reduce the likelihood that compromise of assets within one architectural element would result in propagation of the attack to other architectural elements;
3.3.6	The security of software and hardware should be managed throughout the lifetime of the vehicle
3.3.7	The storage and transmission of data should be secure and should be controlled
3.3.8	The vehicle manufacturer should assess security functions with testing procedures
3.3.9	The vehicle should be designed to be resilient to cyber attacks
3.3.10	The vehicle should be designed with the capability to detect cyber attacks and respond appropriately $% \left({{{\bf{x}}_{i}}} \right)$
3.3.11	Access to vehicle services and functions should be controlled, in accordance with access control mechanisms and allocation of roles established in compliance with national or regional legislation, and available only to authorized parties
3.3.12	Vehicles should log relevant access data, which can be used for post incident analysis and forensics

section	Threats to vehicles	Relationship with communication
4.3.1	Threats regarding back-end servers	
4,3,2	Threats to vehicles regarding their communication channels	O
4.3.3	Threats to vehicles regarding their update procedures	
4.3.4	Threats to vehicles regarding unintended human actions	
4.3.5	Threats to vehicles regarding their external connectivity and connections	0
4.3.6	Potential targets of, or motivations for, an attack	

Table 5.2.4 Threats to Backend Services

section	Threats to back-end services
4.3.1(a)	Use of back-end servers to attack vehicles and external data(1)
4.3.1(b)	Impact on vehicle operation due to backend server destruction(2)
4.3.1(c)	Loss or leakage of data stored in the backend server(3)

Table 5.2.5 Threats to Communication Channels

Section	Threats to vehicles regarding their communication channels	5 G
4.3.2(a)	Spoofing of messages or data received by the vehicle	0
4,3,2(b)	Communication channels used to conduct unauthorized manipulation, deletion or other amendments to vehicle held code/data	0
4.3.2(c)	Communication channels permit untrusted/unreliable messages to be accepted or are vulnerable to session hijacking/replay attacks	0
4.3.2(d)	Information can be readily disclosed. For example through eavesdropping on communications or through allowing unauthorized access to sensitive files or folders	0
4.3.2(e)	Denial of service attacks via communication channels to disrupt vehicle functions	0
4.3.2(f)	An unprivileged user is able to gain privileged access to vehicle systems	0
4.3.2(g)	Viruses embedded in communication media are able to infect vehicle systems	0
4.3.2(h)	Messages received by the vehicle, or transmitted within it, contain malicious content	0

Table 5.2.6 Threats during Software Updates

section	Threats to vehicles regarding their update procedures
4.3.3(a)	Misuse or compromise of update procedures(12)
4.3.3(b)	It is possible to deny legitimate updates (13)

Table 5.2.7 Threats from Unintended Human Actions

section	Threats to vehicles regarding unintended human actions
4.3.4(a)	Misconfiguration of equipment or systems by legitimate actor, e.g. owner or maintenance community (14)
4.3.4(b)	Legitimate actors are able to take actions that would unwittingly facilitate a cyber-attack(15)

section	Threats to vehicles regarding their external connectivity and connections	5G
4.3.5(a)	Manipulation of the connectivity of vehicle functions enables a cyber-attack, this can include telematics; systems that permit remote operations; and systems using short range wireless communications(16)	0
4,3.5(b)	Hosted third party software, e.g. entertainment applications, used as a means to attack vehicle systems(17)	
4.3.5(c)	Devices connected to external interfaces e.g. USB ports, OBD port, used as a means to attack vehicle systems	

Table 5.2.8 Threats from External Connections

Table 5.2.9 Potential vulnerabilities that could be exploited if not sufficiently protected or hardened

section	Potential vulnerabilities that could be exploited if not sufficiently protected or hardened
4.3.6(a)	Cryptographic technologies can be compromised or are insufficiently applied(26)
4.3.6(b)	Component parts or supplies could be compromised to permit vehicles to be attacked(27)
4.3.6(c)	Software or hardware development permits vulnerabilities(28)
4.3.6(d)	Network design introduces vulnerabilities(29)
4.3.6(e)	Physical loss of data can occur(30)
4.3.6(f)	Unintended transfer of data can occur (31)
4.3.6(g)	Physical manipulation of systems can enable an attack(32)

5.2.2.1.2 Recommendation on Software Updates of the Task Force on Cyber Security and Over-the-air issues [3]

As the electrification of vehicles progresses, the importance of software updates increases due to the need to add new functions, provide performance improvements, and correct imperfections via updates. Due to this, the cyber security task force studied for vehicle manufacturers guidelines and regulations for software updates

The overall structure is shown in figure 5.2.3. In this section, guidance is provided for overall software update procedures as well as safety and security regulations and identifying software to be updated. In addition, in the annex, a draft regulation was provided to define the Regulation X Software Identification Number (RXSWIN) for vehicle manufacturers and software updates.

In Chapter 5, safety and security regulations were provided, which included, as shown in table 5.2.10, falsification of updated software and protection from attacks when updating the system. In addition, the ability to authenticate authority and the information (documents) needed to authenticate authority was also proscribed.

In response to the study of this regulation, domestically, the law that implements software updates (which includes rules on cyber security), the Road Transport Vehicle Act, Section 99, Clause 3 (revised), was amended in May 2019.

- 1. Introduction
 - 1.1.Preamble
 - 1.2.Scope
- 2. Definitions
- 3. Document structure
- 4. Process for software updates
- 5. Safety and security requirements for software updates
- 6. Identification of the installed software
- 7. Conclusion and Recommendation for further proceedings

Annexes

- A Draft proposal to introduce a UN Regulation on uniform provisions concerning the approval of software updates processes
- B Draft proposal to amend existing UN Regulations to introduce software identification numbers (RXSWIN)

Figure 5.2.3 Contents of Software Update Guideline

Table 5.2.10 Security Requirements for Software Updates

section	Requirements	
5.2.1	The location and movement of the vehicle should not be restricted during the download portion of a software update unless safety implications result from the download process.	
5.2.2	 Recovery from a failed or interrupted update The vehicle manufacturer shall ensure that the vehicle user is able to be informed about the update before the update is executed the vehicle manufacturer shall demonstrate how the update will be executed safely and shall ensure that software updates can only be executed when the vehicle has enough power to complete the update process 	
5.2.3	the vehicle manufacturer shall ensure that the vehicle cannot be driven during the execution of the update and that the driver cannot use any functionality of the vehicle that would affect the safety of the vehicle or the successful execution of the update	
5.5 Requirements for evidencing that updates and the	To support any certification process for permitting software updates, particularly those over the air, the authority shall be competent and able to assess the processes and procedures of a vehicle manufacturer with respect to the above safety and security requirements	
update process is safe and secure.	To enable an assessment of the vehicle manufacturer's processes and procedures with regard to conducting software updates safely and securely the vehicle manufacturer shall be able to provide to the authority: • documentation describing how the update will be performed securely. • documentation describing how the update will be performed safely • documentation describing any interaction/requirements of the vehicle user (if any) in the update process	

5.2.2.2. ISO TC 22 (Road vehicles)

The ISO/TC22 built upon the ISO/SAE21414(Road Vehicles-Cybersecurity engineering). These standards regulate the requirements for interface security risk management as well as the entire lifecycle of a vehicle, from initial engineering (concept, design, development), production, operation, and maintenance up until the vehicle is no longer being operated. In addition, these standards also define the vocabulary for a shared framework and process in regard to the transmission and operation of cyber security risks between shareholders. The defined process offers clear countermeasures to reduce possible successful attacks, reduce losses, and against constantly changing threats. Global firms are provided consistency and can hasten decision making. These standards are expected to be reflected in the WP29 cyber security principals.

These standards are outlined in figure 5.2.4 and overall structure is shown in figure 5.2.5. The overall structure is summarized below from (6).

Chapter 6, Risk Assessment Methods and Treatment includes the definitions for the requirements on conducting risk management as well as implementations of asset analysis, risk analysis, and risk evaluation. Chapter 8, Product Development, defines the requirements for necessary cyber security activities for vehicles, from production and operation until the end of development. It especially targets the unique horizontal division of labor for vehicles, including concept, system, hardware, and software. Chapter 9, Production, Operations, and Maintenance, defines requirements for necessary cyber security activities from the end of development until the vehicle is scrapped, including the collection of vulnerability data, incident responses, incident measures, and the specific requirements to follow when the vehicle is scrapped. Chapter 10 on Cybersecurity Management defines the process requirements and specific rules for the structure of a cyber security strategy organization and the cyber security management requirements for a vehicle's entire lifecycle. A summary of cyber security activities is shown below in figure 5.2.6. This process, which is defined in ISO 26262 for functional safety standards, is called a triple v process. The three component parts of the triple v are the system, the software, and the hardware. These standards are related to the requirements of cyber security activities, process definitions, and methodologies, so does not include the following:

- Applications of concrete cyber security technology and solutions
- Requirements for specific improvement measures
- Requirements for the communication systems
- Back office requirements
- EV charging requirements
- Autonomous vehicle requirements

As mentioned above, ISO/SAE 21434 is characterized by providing requirements for cyber security management for the entire vehicle management process, from design and development to operation and scrapping.



Figure 5.2.4 Table of Contents and Overview of ISO/SAE21434



Figure 5.2.5 Structure of ISO/SAE21434



Figure 5.2.6 Overview of the Cyber Security during the Development Process

5.2.2.3. ISO TC204 (Intelligent Transport Systems)

TC204 has different committees that focus on standards for ITS, including data for transportation, communications, and control systems. The communications subcommittee ISO TC204/WG16 covers communication standards for ITS. The committee's work on security related standards is in ISO21217 (9) and ISO16461 (10). The cooperative system

subcommittee ISO TC204/WG18 released their standards for security in ISO/TS21177 [11] and ISO/TS21185 [12].

Standards	Title	Overview
ISO21217	CALM(Communications access for Land mobile) Architecture	Define security features in the protocol stack
ISO16461	ITS – Criteria for privacy and integrity protection prove vehicle information systems	Evaluation criteria for privacy of probe information
TS21177	ITS- ITS station security services for secure session establishment and authentication between trusted devices	A system that quickly authenticates and establishes secure communication between ITS base stations
TS21185	ITS- Communication profiles for secure connections between trusted devices	Profile standard for lower layer communication for secure communication between ITS base stations and vehicles

Table 5.2.11 Related Security Standards in TC204

ISO21217 specified the type of node communication reference architecture referred to as ITS station units that are planned for an ITS communication network. It defines the communication node necessary for node-to-node communications between nodes on various ISO networks. An overview of the requirements is shown in diagram 5.2.7 and a diagram of the reference architecture is shown in diagram 5.2.8. The infrastructure components defined are firewalls that can detect intrusions, authentication, authorization, profile management, network security management with SMIB, and hardware security modules.

1 Scope

- 2 Normative references
- 3 Terms and definitions
- 4 Symbols and abbreviated terms
- **5** Requirements

6 Overview of ITS communications

- 7 ITS station overview
- 8 Details of elements of ITS-S reference architecture
- 9 Typical implementations of ITS station units

Annex A Illustration of typical ITS-SU implementations Annex B ITS-S configurations

Figure 5.2.7 Table of Contents on ISO21217



Figure 5.2.8 ISO21217 CALM reference architecture

ISO16461 provides the evaluation criteria in regard to probe vehicle data service privacy for those firms that plan to offer these services, as shown in diagram 5.2.9. ISO16461 includes the following contents for standards related to probe vehicle systems (PVS). PVS is a system in which valuable data is offered to users from statistical analysis conducted on probe data that is collected from individual vehicles. The standards include the following:

- Integral protection of data from PVS and an anonymity protecting architecture
- Security evaluation criteria and requirements that protect the privacy and integrity of PVS data
- Requirements for the generation and handling of appropriate probe data via data anonymization

1 Scope

- 2 Normative references
- 3 Terms and definitions
- 4 Symbols and abbreviated terms
- 5 Reference architecture
- 6 Basic framework
- 7 Criteria for privacy protection

Figure 5.2.9 Table of Contents on ISO16461

ISO/TS21177 specifies, as shown in diagram 5.2.11, the protection of data integrity, anonymity, and authentication between trusted devices in regard to ITS stations. As shown in diagram 5.2.10, when two devices are cooperating through a trusted relationship infrastructure, data is protected during mutual communication. The standards in ISO 21217 state that ITS station units (ITS-SU) that have the physical implementation of the ITS station (ITS-S) functionality are trusted devices. In addition, an ITS-SU is constructed from many ITS station communication units (ITS-SCU) that are paired with an ITS internal network. In other words, the ITS-SCU is the smallest entity that can be called a trusted device, as shown in diagram 5.2.12. In this situation, the ITS station needs access to secure data from infrastructure/road networks (IRN) and inter-vehicle networks (IVN), as shown in diagram 5.2.13 and 5.2.14. Therefore, the following requirements for ITU-S security services for establishing trusted relationships between ITS applications have been established. Trusted relationships can be established with the following three ITS application cases:

- Communication between different ITS-SCU within an individual ITS-SU
- Communication between ITS-SCU in different ITS-SU
- Communication between an ITS-SU and a sensor and control network (SCN)

As shown in diagram 5.2.15, services that offer ITS applications will provide, beyond the TLS protocols that offer secure sessions, a security adapter layer that includes authentication and authorization, anonymity and privacy, data integrity, and secure services preventing unauthorized access.



Figure 5.2.10 Basic structure of TS21177

- 1 Scope
- 2 Normative
- 3 Terms and
- 4 Symbols and abbreviated
- 5 Overview
- 6 Process flows and sequence diagrams
- 7 Security Subsystem: interfaces and data types
- 8 Adaptor Layer: Interfaces and data types
- 9 Secure Session services
- Annex A (informative) Usage scenarios
- Annex B (normative) ASN.1 module

Figure 5.2.11 Table of Contents on TS21177



ITS-S: ITS Station, ITS-SU: ITS Station Unit, ITS-SCU : ITS Station Communication Unit



Figure 5.2.12 Trusted relationships in ITS Services

Proprietary IRN(Infrastructure/Roadside Network)

Figure 5.2.13 Example of a roadside ITS-SU connected with proprietary IRN



Figure 5.2.14 Example of an ITS-SU connected to a proprietary IVN

Defines application layer protocol for providing security services over TLS sessions



Figure 5.2.15Logical architecture in TS21177

ISO/TS21185 specifies the methodology to define ITS-S communication protocols between standardized trusted devices, as shown in diagram 5.2.16. The specified protocol provides the capability to securely exchange data with a low latency between devices using a different configuration.

Scope.
 Normative references
 Terms and definitions
 Symbols and abbreviated terms
 OID conventions
 Architecture
 Communication profiles and protocol
 ITS communication protocols
 ITS-S communication protocol stacks
 ITS-S communication
 Annex A (normative) ASN.1 module

Figure 5.2.16 Table Contents on TS21185

5.2.2.4. ITS Forum

ITS Forum are domestic organizations whose purpose is to promote the spread of ITS information communication systems by carrying out research of R &D and standardization on ITS information communication systems, liaison and coordination with related organizations, information collection, and enlightenment activities. In addition, various guidelines have been formulated and made public in order to popularize and promote ITS. Regarding the security of Connected Vehicles, "ITS Forum RC-009 Security Guidelines for Driving Support Communication Systems" (2011) [13], which specifies security guidelines for vehicle-to-vehicle and road-to-vehicle communication information, and " Survey Report for the Advancement of ITS and Autonomous Driving Using Cellular Communication

Technology" (2019) [14] jointly prepared by the Cellular System TG and the 5GMF Connected Vehicle Ad Hoc Meeting are formulated.

5.2.2.4.1. ITS Forum RC-009 Security Guidelines for Driving support Communication Systems



Figure 5.2.17 shows the structure of the above guidelines.

Figure 5.2.17 ITS Forum RC-009 Security Guidelines for Driving Assistance Communication Systems

Figure 5.2.18 shows the scope of this guideline. The broadcast communication between vehicles and roads is a target to be analyzed. Here, security measures are stipulated assuming threats associated with services, such as vehicles illegally delivering information on fake priority vehicles and impersonating priority vehicles, and fake roadside devices delivering fake information.



Figure 5.2.18 ITS Forum RC-009 Guidelines to be considered

Table 5.2.12 shows examples of risk analysis. As concrete security measures based on this risk analysis, The following cryptographic mechanisms are stipulated; message integrity using message authentication code for communication information between vehicles and road vehicles, and sender's message origin authentication using digital signatures.

Table 5.2.12	Examples	of risk a	nalysis

ID	Threats	Items	Level	Reason
N	Spoofing of Vehicle,	Motivation	Moderate	Purpose of confusion
	Transmission of fake driving information	Difficulty	Solvable	Theoretically possible to attack
		Impact	Medium	Limited impact at the location where it was sent
0	Spoofing of Vehicle,	Motivation	Moderate	Purpose of confusion
	Transmission of fake general purpose information	Difficulty	Solvable	Theoretically possible to attack
		Impact	Medium	Limited impact at the location where it was sent
Р	Spoofing of Vehicle, Replay Attacks	Motivation	Moderate	Purpose of confusion
	Attacks	Difficulty	None	There are attack examples
		Impact	Medium	Limited impact at the location of the attack
Q	Location Tracking	Motivation	High	There is a clear purpose such as profiling of a specific individual and There is great profit
		Difficulty	Solvable	Theoretically possible to attack
		Impact	Low	It is the same as stalking because it affects a specific individual and needs to be tracked within the communication distance.

5.2.2.4.2. Problem investigation report for the advancement of ITS / autonomous driving using cellular communication technology

The purpose of this report is to clarify the domestic issues for the advancement of ITS / autonomous driving using cellular V2X, and to accelerate the verification of the effectiveness of cellular V2X and the implementation and consideration of countermeasures.

This report summarizes the security and privacy issues related to information distribution in cellular V2X.

Regarding five use cases of V2X (1: collision avoidance / emergency braking, 2: intersection passage support / dilemma zone avoidance / red light alert, 3: lane change support / route selection, 4: vehicle evacuation support, 5: Route re-search), the issues on sending and receiving information are organized (see Fig. 5.2.19 and Fig. 5.2.20).

		on & standardization for data acquisition are mandatory. hall be required in view of security & privacy.							
$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}$	Perspective	Issues	Corresponding Use Case						
\odot	reispective	155085	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5		
		Obtaining approval to acquire source information from associations and organizations		V	V	V	V		
	discussion on e case is ry to confirm source. Acquisitions from associations and organizations Adop from associations and organizations acqui Adop	Creation of systems for acquiring information from information sources (precision, security, etc.)		~	v	~	V		
Further discussion on each use case is		Standardization of equipment specification, formats, etc. for acquiring information from information sources		~	v	~	V		
necessary to confirm the data source.		Adoption of message format and protocol specifications	V		V	V			
		Adoption of guidelines for controlling variations among vehicles generating information	v			V			
		Security and privacy countermeasures	V		V				
	10069100.00.00	Obtaining consent regarding the use of information from each vehicle owner	V		V				

Usecase#1= Collision avoidance and emergency braking, #2= Intersection passage support/dilemma zone avoidance/red traffic signal warning, #3= Lane change support/route selection, #4= Vehicle avoidance support, #5= Route reselection

Fig. 5.2.19 Issues on Communication Sharing (Information Acquisition)



In wide-area co communication to be complexe matter. Standardization & Guideline are mandatory for assured information usage at receivers' side.

		Corresponding Use Ca				
	Issues	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5
	Adoption of message format and protocol specifications	V	v	V	v	V
ommunication, n path becomes ed, which is a	Ensuring the reliability of received information (communications route security)	V	V	V	V	V
	Formulation of guidelines on the use of received information (including consideration of latency)	V	×	V	v	~

Usecase#1= Collision avoidance and emergency braking, #2= Intersection passage support/dilemma zone avoidance/red traffic signal warning, #3= Lane change support/route selection, #4= Vehicle avoidance support, #5= Route reselection

Fig. 5.2.20 Issues on Communication Sharing (Information Usage at Vehicles)

5.2.2.5. ITU-T

In ITU-T, Question 27 (Vehicle gateway platform for telecommunication / ITS services and applications) in Study Group 16 (SG16: Multimedia) is standardizing the communication of Connected Vehicles. In Question 13 (Security aspects for Intelligent Transport System) of Study Group 17 (SG17: Security), the security related to Connected Vehicles and their communication systems are standardized. This section describes the activity status of SG17 Question 13 and the contents of the standard to be published as Recommendations

5.2.2.5.1. Recommendation prepared in SG17 Question 13 and work items under discussion

Table 5.2.13 The list of recommendations shows the documents prepared and issued as recommendations in SG13 Question 13.

Number	Title	Overview
X.1373	Secure software update capability for intelligent transportation system communication devices	Regulations on procedures for safely updating software on electronic devices installed in vehicles
X.1372	Security guidelines for Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) communication systems	Security guidelines for V2V (Vehicle-to- Vehicle), V2I (Vehicle-to-Infrastructure), V2D (Vehicle-to-nomadic Devices), and V2P (Vehicle-to-Pedestrian) communications. Lists V2X communication threats (eavesdropping, personal information leakage, credit information / sensor information / application tampering, DoS attacks, device hacking, unauthorized access, etc.) and specifies security requirements and countermeasures to deal with them. doing.

Table 5.2.13 shows the work items under discussion in SG13 Question 13 (as of March 2020). Table 5.2.13 the work items under discussion in SG13 Question 13 (as of March 2020)

Number	Title	Overview
X.itssec-3	Security requirements for external device with vehicle access capability	A document that specifies the security requirements for external devices connected to the vehicle, such as remote keyless entry and inspection tools. It lists security threats from connections of external devices and specifies security requirements for wireless devices (Bluetooth, mobile, WiFi), remote keyless entry, and charging systems, as well as general security requirements.

X.itssec ⁻ 4 X.itssec ⁻ 5	Methodologies for intrusion detection system on in-vehicle system Security guidelines for vehicular edge computing	A document that specifies how to apply an intrusion detection system that detects illegal behavior on a vehicle network (CAN, etc.). It shows the framework and detection procedure of a typical intrusion detection system, and also shows threatening behavior (eavesdropping, spoofing, sending unauthorized commands, replay attacks, etc.) on the network. Security guidelines for vehicles to use edge computing capabilities. In addition to analyzing threats, it also shows security requirements for responding to threats and
X.stcv	Security threats to connected vehicles	A document that indicates security threats in connected vehicles and is intended to be used as a reference for other ITS security related items. It defines a model of connected vehicles and lists security threats in that model.
X.mdcv	Security-related misbehaviour detection mechanism based on big data analysis for connected vehicles	A document that specifies a mechanism for analyzing data (Big Data) collected from many connected vehicles and detecting suspicious behavior related to security. It defines a model of a mechanism for detecting suspicious behavior, and explains the types of data that can be collected and multiple detection methods.
X.srcd	Security requirements for categorized data in V2X communication	A document that classifies data used in V2X communication into multiple types and defines the security level of each data type. Explains the life cycle of data handled by V2X, and presents data examples belonging to security levels 1 to 3 for data types (vehicle status, environmental information, vehicle control, application service data, confidential data, etc). It also defines security requirements for classified data.
X.edrsec	Security guidelines for cloud-based data recorders in automotive environment	Security guidelines for EDR (Event Data Recorder) and DSSAD (Data Storage System for Automated Driving) that send data obtained from vehicles to the cloud and store it. From the characteristics of EDR and DSSAD, security threats are clarified and security requirements (secure boot, log, communication encryption, access control, etc.) are specified. It also specifies an

		implementation method that takes security into consideration.	
X.eivnsec	Security guideline for Ethernet-based in-vehicle networks	Ethernet-based in-vehicle network security guidelines. It explains Ethernet for vehicles, including comparison with conventional networks, clarifies security threats, and defines security requirements (items related to confidentiality / integrity / availability / authenticity). It also specifies the implementation of Ethernet in consideration of security.	
X.fstiscv	Framework of security threat information sharing for connected vehicles	A document that defines the framework and procedures for sharing security threat information for connected vehicles.	
X.1373rev	Secure software update capability for intelligent transportation system communication devices	Revised version of X.1373. The revision work will be carried out for the purpose of reflecting the resolution at UNECE WP29 and the opinions on implementation from OEM vendors.	
X.ipscv	Methodologies for intrusion prevention systems for connected vehicles	A document that defines the framework of the mechanism to prevent intrusion into the in-vehicle system and the method of detecting and preventing attacks.	
X.rsusec	Security requirements for road-side units in intelligent transportation systems	A document that specifies the security requirements for RSUs (Roadside units) used in V2I (Vehicle-to- Infrastructure) communications. It provides an overview of RSUs and security threats, and defines security requirements with respect to hardware, firmware / OS, applications, and data	

5.2.2.5.2. X.1373: Secure software update capability for intelligent transportation system communication devices

This recommendation aims to provide a secure software update procedure for ITS (intelligent transportation system) communication equipment. It also includes the basic model of software updates, security controls for software updates, and abstract data format specifications for update software modules.



Figure 5.2.20 Basic modules built into the vehicle (from X.1373 recommendation)

Figure 5.2.21 shows the connection between a module such as an ECU built into the vehicle and a supplier who provides update software. The update software will be passed to the vehicle manufacturer, from which it will be sent to equipment such as the ECU that needs to be updated via the gateway built into each vehicle.

User interface	ECU	Vehicle mobile gateway (VMG)	late server at car manufacturer	Upd n	upplier
1			→	Update	
2	est	Requ			
3	t	∢ Lis			
4		ort	Repo		
5		ipt 🔸	Rece		
6			\bigcirc		
7		est	Requ		
8		onse	Respo		
9		Update	\sim		
> 10	Notification				
11	Confirmation	4			
12	ite	Upda			
13	lt 💭	Resu			
14		ort	▲ Report		
1:		ipt	Rece		

Figure 5.2.21 Model of software update process

Figure 5.2.22 is a model of the basic software update procedure. Software update procedure specifications and message formats are specified according to this model.

5.2.2.5.3. X.1372: Security guidelines for Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) communication systems

This is a security guideline for V2X (Vehicle-to-Everything ") communication, where V2V (Vehicle-to-Vehicle), V2I (Vehicle-to-infrastructure), V2D (Vehicle-to) -nomadic Devices), and V2P (Vehicle-to-Pedestrian) are included. This recommendation also specifies V2X security threats, security requirements, and the implementation of V2X communication with security features.

Threats are categorized in terms of confidentiality, integrity, availability, denial, authenticity, accountability, and authentication, and threats are listed for each item.



Figure 5.2.22 Threats of Confidentiality

Figure 5.2.23 shows threats to confidentiality, which are eavesdropping and personal information breaches.



Figure 5.2.23 Threats to Integrity

Figure 5.2.24 shows the threats to integrity, which are tampering with routing messages, tampering with credentials, tampering with sensor information, and tampering with applications on devices.



Figure 5.2.24 Threats to availability

Figure 5.2.25 shows threats to availability, which are interference/ DDoS attacks on V2X communication channels, DDoS attacks on OBU, timing attacks, and sensor hacking.


Figure 5.2.25 Threats to non-repudiation

Figure 5.2.26 shows the threat to non-repudiation, which are tampering with the certificate database and unauthorized access to credentials.



Figure 5.2.26 Threats to Authenticity

Figure 5.2.27 shows the threat to authenticity, which are tampering attacks on the routing table / LDP (Local Dynamic Map), spoofing attacks, Sybil attacks (multiple ID attacks), pseudonym analysis attacks, and tampering with the certificate database.



Figure 5.2.27 Threats to Accountability

Figure 5.2.28 shows the threat to Accountability, which are unauthorized duplication of devices and vehicles / RSUs.



Figure 5.2.28 Threats to Authentication

Figure 5.2.29 shows the threats to authentication, which are unauthorized access to information on safety in the vehicle and unauthorized access to functions built into the vehicle.

The recommendation stipulates the security requirements corresponding to each of the above items and indicates which security requirements should be addressed for the use cases related to V2X communication. In addition, as examples for implementation in line with security requirements, use of encryption for entity authentication and confidentiality,

verification of the integrity of emergency information, entity authentication in platooning, use of PKI, etc. are contained.

5.2.2.6. TTC (Telecommunication Technology Committee)

As for TTC, the Security Expert Committee and the Connected Car Expert Committee handle vehicle-related security, and related members of the Security Expert Committee participate in the Connected Car Expert Committee and carry out collaborative activities. This section describes the documents being prepared by the Connected Car Expert Committee.

5.2.2.6.1. Standardization and practical application issues of remote update technology for automobiles

A document issued in December 2017 as a technical report explains the status of studies on remote software update technology by various organizations and the status of creating standardized documents at this time. In October 2019, information on UNECE WP.29 was added and updated, and it was published as the second edition. This document is open to the public and can be downloaded from web page of TTC.

Conventionally, the software installed in the device such as the ECU was updated by the work vehicle using the diagnostic tool by wired connection. In recent years, remote software update (OTA reprogramming), which updates software remotely (without the intervention of a specialized worker) via a network, has been paid attention. This document focuses on the use cases of this software update, and investigates both domestically and internationally the status of activities at government agencies, academic societies, industry groups, NPOs, etc.



Figure 5.2.29 Examples of Reprogramming (Red: conventional wired reprogramming, yellow: OTA reprogramming)

The related organizations surveyed are as follows.

• 5GAA (5G Automotive Association)

- ACEA (European Automobile Manufacturers Association)
- SAE International (Society of Automotive Engineers)
- UNECE WP.29 GRVA TFCS
- Bluetooth SIG
- IEEE 802
- ISO TC22 (Road vehicles)
- ISO TC204 (Intelligent transport systems)
- ITS Info-communication Forum
- ITU-T FG-VM (ITU-T Focus Group on Vehicular Multimedia)
- ITU-T SG16 (Multimedia)
- ITU-T SG17 (Security)
- OneM2M
- W3C
- Wi-Fi Alliance
- The Fifth Generation Mobile Communications Promotion Forum (5GMF)
- EVITA (E-safety vehicle intrusion protected applications)
- HIS (The Herstellerinitiative Software)
- TCG (Trusted Computing Group)

5.2.2.6.2. Others

The Connected Car Expert Committee has decided to start writing a new report on the security of autonomous driving. In connection with this, on January 31, 2020, a workshop entitled "Security Issues Related to Autonomous Driving" was held by inviting speakers from outside.

5.2.2.7. GSMA

The GSMA, an industry group related to mobile communications, has created the following IoT security guidelines and made them available to the public through its website. It has been translated into languages other than English, and there is also a Japanese version.

- IoT Security Guidelines: Overview Document
- 101 Security Guidelines. Overview Document
- IoT Security Guidelines for Service Ecosystems
- IoT Security Guidelines for Endpoint Ecosystems
- \cdot IoT Security Guidelines for Network Operators
- IoT Security Assessment

The GSMA began to study Automotive IoT Security as series of IoT security guidelines, but ended without moving to full-scale activities due to difficulty in collaborating with the automotive industry and lack of supporters.

The GSMA's IoT security project has undertaken various efforts, including the creation of the above IoT security guidelines, but was suspended in March 2020. As a vehicle-related activity, the use of eSIM in automobiles is being considered in eSIM activities, and the necessity of establishing a new group is being considered (as of March 2020). In addition, the GSMA has built the NESAS (Network Equipment Security Scheme) [15] as a security certification framework for communication devices. NESAS consists of equipment tests based

on the 3GPP TS33 series test specifications (SCAS: Security Assurance Specifications) and third-party audits.



Figure 3 Roles of 3GPP and GSMA in NESAS

Figure 5.2.30 Relationship between the GSMA NESAS and 3GPP SCAS

To ensure the security of 5G networks, the GSMA is proposing NESAS to the European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA). [16] This complements the European Commission's 5G cybersecurity measures "EU Toolbox" [17], and European countries are currently implementing security measures for 5G networks.

5.2.2.8. Summary

In this section, we summarize each group introduced in Sections 5.2.2.1 to 5.2.2.7 and their activities and clarify their relationships (Table 5.2.15).

The UNECE / WP.29 Cyber Security Task Force provides basic cyber security policies throughout the life cycle of systems, which are development, commercialization, and product termination. The systems consist of vehicle, network and clouds. As an example of use case, it provides procedures for remote software updates (remote reprogramming, OTA), its safety and security requirements, guidance on identifying software to be updated, and its underlying Regulations. The basic policy of cyber security stipulated in UNECE / WP.29 is in the form of referring to ISO / SAE 21434, which is jointly developed by ISO and SAE. In accordance with the basic policy specified in UNECE / WP.29, it defines cybersecurity risk management requirements, processes for communicating and managing cybersecurity risks, and a common language framework throughout the life cycle of a car. By complying with ISO / SAE 21434, car manufacturers and suppliers prove that they comply with the UNECE / WP.29 cybersecurity regulations. Regarding software updates, ISO / SAE 24089 will be positioned as a standard according to Regulation, but consideration has just begun. TC204 is a standardization body related to ITS, and in particular, WG16 (Communication Subcommittee) and / WG18 (Cooperative Systems Subcommittee) standardize communications between base stations and vehicles in ITS. TC204 also stipulates security

measures such as authentication and confidentiality that should be realized between communication devices and measures related to privacy protection of probe information. In order to promote the spread of ITS information communication systems, the ITS Forum aims to following activities, namely research and development of ITS information communication systems, research on standardization, liaison and coordination with related organizations, collecting relating information, enlightenment activities, etc. As for security, it has published guidelines for vehicle driving support communication systems. In ITU-T, SG16 Question 27 standardizes the communication of connected vehicles. SG17 Question 13 standardizes the security of connected vehicles and their communication systems. So far, the procedure for secure remote software updates and security guidelines for V2X have been standardized.

As for TTC, the Security Expert Committee and the Connected Car Expert Committee handle vehicle-related security, and related members of the Security Expert Committee participate in the Connected Car Expert Committee and carry out collaborative activities. The GSMA began to study Automotive IoT Security as series of IoT security guidelines, but ended without moving to full-scale activities due to difficulty in collaborating with the automotive industry and lack of supporters. As a vehicle-related activity, the use of eSIM in automobiles is being considered in eSIM activities, and the necessity of establishing a new group is being considered (as of March 2020).

Abbreviation	Organizations	Overview	
UNECE/WP.29	World Forum for	International standards such as	
	Harmonization of Vehicle	international harmonization of	
	Regulations (WP.29) under	automobile safety and environmental	
	the United Nations (UN) /	standards and international mutual	
	Economic Commission for	recognition of automobile certification	
	Europe (ECE)	by the government [5]	
ISO TC22	Joint organization by the	ISO / SAE jointly developed ISO /	
	International Standard	SAE 21434 from September 2016 [5]	
	Organization (ISO) and the	Expected to be referred to by WP.29	
	Society of Automotive	International Regulation for Vehicle	
	Engineers (SAE) in the	Cyber Security	
	United States		
ISO TC204	Technical Committee under	Responsible for international	
	the International Standard	standards related to ITS ISO / SAE	
	Organization (ISO) (TC204)	21434 takes initiative in the content	
		related to autonomous driving [18]	

Table 5.2.15 Relationship between each standard

ITS Forum	ITS Info-communications	A domostia organization whose	
115 Forum		A domestic organization whose	
	Forum	purpose is to promote the spread of	
		ITS information and communication	
		systems. Formulated and published	
		various guidelines to popularize and	
		promote ITS.	
ITU-T SG17	Study Group (SG17) under	International standardization of	
	the International	telecommunications. ITS security is	
	Telecommunication Union	standardized in Question 13, SG17	
	(ITU) Telecommunications		
	Standardization Division (T)		
TTC	Telecommunication	Standardization of Information and	
	Technology Committee	communication network in Japan	
		Conducted trend surveys and reports	
		on automobile-related security	
GSMA	GSM Association	Established NESAS, authentication	
	; An international	framework of a device security [19]	
	Organization consisting of	Currently no activity on automotive	
	mobile carriers and related	IoT security	
	companies		

5.2.3. Security on connected vehicle

5.2.3.1. Security requirements for connected vehicles

In Chapter 5.2.2, the standardization activities related to connected vehicle were surveyed, and the relationship between each standard were clarified. Then, the common security requirements for connected vehicle were clarified. These standards specify not only security requirements inside the vehicle but also security requirements in the ecosystem, including roadside devices and the cloud. In other words, these standards specify wider security requirements which cover from policy for security measures, methodologies for security management, to secure communication services and protocols between ITS base stations, which are components of the connected vehicle systems.

Services related to connected vehicle are expected to have various use cases such as autonomous driving support, infotainment, car life support, agents, etc. In order to ensure the security of these services, it is also necessary to consider the security requirements for stakeholders such as service providers, cloud providers, telecommunications providers, and so on. For example, by conforming to ISO27011 (ITU-T X.1051) [20] for telecommunications

carriers and ISO27017 (ITU-T X.1601) [21] for cloud carriers, the security of communication or cloud services is guaranteed.

In this chapter, we will clarify the target services (use cases) of connected vehicle and the system requirements to realize safe and secure services. Next, assuming that 5G is used as a public network of connected vehicle, we will focus on the functions of the 5G network to satisfy the above system requirements. Furthermore, in the next chapter, we will discuss the security issues related to the above focused 5G network functions.

5.2.3.1.1. Connected Vehicle Use Case Overview

As widely penetration of connected vehicles, not only achieve efficiency and sophistication of transportation, but also create new industries and services in various fields that were not directly related to automobiles are also expected. Therefore, it is important to first clarify security issues in the connected vehicle that are expected in the 5G era.

In this report, we will focus on the four services defined by the "Study Group for the Realization of a Connected Car Society" [22] sponsored by the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications The four services are summarized below.

-Safety service

A service that supports safe driving by informing road conditions and traffic conditions to vehicles and drivers and issuing warnings as necessary.

-Car life support service

A service tailored to the situation of the vehicle and driver by transmitting and analyzing information such as the condition and position of the vehicle and the driving characteristics of the driver to the outside.

Infotainment service

A service that provides various entertainment in the vehicle, such as watching videos and VR (virtual reality) by connecting to the Internet.

Agent service

Useful services in emergencies such as traffic accidents and disasters.

In addition, in order to clarify the security requirements without loss of generality, the following use cases in the above report will be used.

UC-1: Driving support (safe driving support, autonomous driving support, driver monitor, elderly driver support) for the safety service,

UC-2: Vehicle management, operation management, Infrastructure management /

automobile insurance service for the car life support service,

UC-3: Online entertainment service for the infotainment service,

UC-4: Emergency call / road assistant service for the agent service.

The communication requirements and communication modes for each use case are as follows.

		Service overview (main fe	atures)	
Use case Safe driving support, autonomous driving support,			driver monitor, elderly driver support	
Content of Surrounding vehicle driving status, vehicle control information, dynamic map, driver status				
Requirements of High reliability, low latency communication				
Communicatio	on Type	Short range communication, Wide range communi	cation	
lssues	Study of highly reliable and low-latency communication methods regardless of manufacturer (includir cooperation with overseas manufacturer) Securing a dedicated band			
Schedule	Image o	of service advancement	Required Technology	
Current	Providing drivers with driving support information that will help prevent accidents at intersections, accident- prone points, etc.		 Communication means (V2I) that detects / distributes the status of vehicles and pedestrian: on real time basis in a specific area such as an intersection Communication technology (V2V) that collects the running conditions of surrounding vehicles in real time 	
Short term	Advanced safe driving support by information providing -Expansion to pedestrians, automobiles, etc. -Response to driver in emergency -Support for elderly drivers Level 2-3 automatic driving support -Providing information for facilitating autonomous driving -Exchange of Vehicle control information during platooning		 Communication between pedestrian and vehicle Improved accuracy of positioning Driver monitoring, Cooperative system communication for autonomous driving, Advancement of infrastructure sensor (system support for vehicles without communication equipment), Utilization of communication for automatic driving monitoring / control 	
Middle term	Autonomous driving support for level 4 or higher Traffic control of autonomous driving vehicles		Communication for automatic driving monitoring / control Advanced traffic control for autonomous driving vehicles	

Figure 5.2.31 UC-1: Overview of safe driving support, autonomous driving support, driver monitoring, and elderly driver support services [22]

Service overview (main features)			
Use case	 -Vehicle management (fault analysis, software update), -Operation management (travel route search in logistics and passenger transportation, vehicle allocation planning, labor management, etc.), -Operation management of infrastructure (understanding road conditions, etc.) -Vehicle insurance 		
Content of Information	-Operation plan / status, -Traffic conditions / prediction, -Movement requests/ demands, -Vehicle condition, -Driver condition		
Requirements of communication	Always connected		
Communication Type	Wide range communication, (Partially) spot communication		
Issues	 Reduction of communication cost, Ensuring privacy / security, Establishment of AI technology for real-time and dynamic driving route search and vehicle allocation planning 		

Schedule	Image of service advancement	Required Technology
Current		
Short term	 Operation management system in response to management of driver's physical condition Dynamically search for driving routes in real time according to operating conditions, traffic conditions, and movement requests / demands of people (passengers). In-vehicle software update (fixing bugs) Real-time monitoring and analyzing of road surface conditions 	 Driver monitoring technology Failure prediction based on operation log (Analysis of sensor information in Cloud)
Middle term	 Reservation and dispatch of on-demand autonomous vehicles Real-time and dynamic route search in response to movement requests / demands of things (collection and delivery) as well as people (passengers) In-vehicle software update (Adding new function) 	 Always-on wireless NW that sends and receives Al input / output (increased transmission frequency)

Figure 5.2.32 UC-2: Overview of vehicle management, operation management,

infrastructure management, and automobile insurance services [22]

Service overview (main features)					
Use case		-Video, music listening, online games, work			
Content of Information		-Entertainment information (videos, music, images, online games, etc.)			
Requirements communicatio		Always connected, high throughput			
Communicatio	n Type	Wide range communication, (Partially) spot communication			
lssues	Issues Review of area design along roads due to increased of internet connection in vehicles Multi-system and multi-band on the in-vehicle device				
Schedule	Image of service advancement		Required Technology		
Current	Passen	gers watch videos and play games			
Short term	Rideshare will allow to work as well as videos and games while traveling		High-speed internet connection using the communication module of the vehicle		
Middle term	Full automated driving becomes widespread, and drivers will be able to play videos, games, and work on the move.		ditto		

Figure 5.2.33 UC-3: Overview of Internet Entertainment Services [22]

Service overview (main features)					
Use case		-Emergency call service in the case of a traffic accident, -Road assist			
Content of Information		-Voice information, sensor information, driving monitor information			
Requirements communicatio		Always connected			
Communicatio	unication Type Wide range communication				
Issues					
Schedule	Image o	of service advancement	Required Technology		
Current	Emergency call when the airbag is operating				
Short term	-Transmission of detailed sensor information before and after the traffic accident -Information analysis technology by AI -Response when the driver is in badly health condition -Driver monitoring technology				

Figure 5.2.34 UC-4: Overview of emergency call / load assistant service [22]

(1) Network requirements

Here, the network requirements required for each of UC-1 to UC-4 are summarized (see Table 5.2.16).

Table 5.2.16 Various use cases and network requirements

	Use case	Network Requirement
UC-1	Dynamic map	High Throughput, Spot
	Vehicle control information	Low Latency, Always Connected
	Driver status	Always Connected
	Surrounding vehicle driving status	Low Latency, Short range communication (V2X)
UC-2	Operation plan / status, traffic status / prediction	Always Connected, Spot
	Movement requests/demands, Vehicle status, driver status	Always Connected
UC-3	Watching video	High Throughput, Always Connected
	Online games	Low Latency
UC-4	Audio Information, Sensor Information, Driver monitoring information	Always Connected

Table 5.2.17 shows the amount of data such as UC-1 map information for dynamic map, vehicle control information for autonomous driving support, or video information [23]. Since a large amount of data flows on the network for all video, still images, and ECU data, efficient transfer technology utilizing MEC etc. is required.

Table 5.2.17 Amount of data transferred by services in Connected Vehicle

System Requirements * Major Data Source		V2Cloud cruise assist	High-resolution map generation & distribution	Intelligent driving
		Video Stream (road surface image)		ECU data
Data Generation in vehicle		~ 1215EB/month1	~ 375EB/month ²	~ 22.5EB/month ³
Target Data ~ 10EB/month in total Traffic Rate (cost constraint might limit this number)		imber)		
Response Time	Uplink	< 10 seconds	< 1 week	< 1 week
	Downlink	< 10 seconds	< 1 week	< 10 minutes
Required	Uplink	Continuous	Occasional	Occasional
	Downlink	Continuous	Occasional	Continuous

* - The numbers in Table 1 are total values for 100 million connected cars.

Table 5.2.18 shows the network requirements for various V2X services. The latency of the autonomous driving, which is automatically controlled based on the transmitting data, requires less than 1ms. It is the strictest condition among V2X services. Further, for remote control, the latency is less than 20ms, which is the condition that does not affect real-time human interface. In addition, Table 5.2.19 shows the network requirements for content viewing and online games in the infotainment services. In particular, in online games by multiplayer, response time should be less than7.5ms.

Service	Туре	Latency	Throughput	Reliability
Safety and traffic control	V2V, V2P	100 ms	-	Not defined
Autonomous Driving	V2V, V2N, V2I	1 ms	10 Mbps (DL/DL)	Almost 100%
Remote driving (TeSo)	V2N	20 ms (end- to-end)	25 Mbps (UL: video • Sensor data) 1 Mbps (DL: Command control of application)	99.999%
Internet and Infotainment	V2N	100 ms (Web browsin g)	0.5 Mbps (Web browsing) 15 Mbps (High definition video streaming)	-
Remote diagnosis and management	V2I, V2N	-	-	-

Table 5.2.18 Network requirements for V2X services [24]

Table 5.2.19 Network Requirements for Infotainment service UC-3 [25]

Service	Average end-user throughput	Delay (end-end)	Delay (radio network)
High Definition Video 8K (Streaming)	< 100 Mbps (DL)	< 1 s	< 200 ms
High Definition Video (conversational)	< 10 Mbps (DL/UL)	< 150 ms	< 30 ms
Cloud Computer Game 4K 3D graphics	< 50 Mbps (DL/UL) (UL required for multiplayer games)	< 7.5 ms	< 1.5 ms

In addition, the latency of each message exchange for the automatic overtaking system should be less than 10ms[26]. As the network delay of the wireless part in 5G URLLC is assumed to be 1ms, it is necessary to realize response time of about 1ms to 20ms for end-toend communications. For this reason, effective authentication on URLLC and utilizing MEC should be considered.

2) Security requirements

In this section, we describe the security requirements for the Connected Vehicle. Here,

security requirements common to use cases are studied while considering the requirements of individual use cases.

First, for the purpose of clarifying the security requirements on the service layer, the threats for vehicles, networks, clouds, and service applications will be clarified.

Threats on the service layer is as follows,

- Impersonation of service user
- · Unauthorized access to data used by the services
- Data leak on the services
- Illegal alternation of data on the service
- DoS attack to the services
- Malware infection

Here, based on the security threats on the above service layer, the security requirements of vehicles, clouds, and networks, which is the component of the service will be clarified. Then the security functions and issues to be focused on when assuming 5G network will be organized.

• Impersonation of service user

The threat is for an unauthorized user to gets profits illegally by impersonating a legitimate user of the services. As an example, unauthorized use of content viewing in infotainment services, online games (UC-3), emergency call services such as traffic accident information (UC-4), and etc. is assumed. As a countermeasure, service-level user authentication is required.

• Illegal Alternation of data stored in the cloud and the car

The threat is for an attacker to get profits to him or damage to others by an unauthorized access to the data stored in the cloud or the car or transmitted on the network or tampering with the data. As an example, the driving log used for an automobile insurance service is altered to intentionally change the insurance rate (UC-2), the hazard information in the dynamic map is tampered with to induce an accident in another car (UC-1), and when software updating as vehicle management operations vulnerable software or malicious software is injected (UC-2).

As a countermeasure, there is a method to add a message authentication code to data stored in the cloud / the car or transmitted on the network.

• Unauthorized acquisition by unauthorized access to the data stored in the cloud and the vehicle or eavesdropping data on the network

The threat is for attacker to get profit or infringe on the privacy of others by unauthorized access to the data stored in the cloud and the vehicle or eavesdropping on the data transferred over the network. As an example, vehicle movement information in the driver monitor service (UC-1) and vehicle operation management service may be illegally obtained (UC-2).

As a countermeasure, there is a method of encrypting the data stored in the cloud or the vehicle and the data transmitting on the network.

• Overloading the cloud, vehicles, and networks, resulting in service outages (DoS attacks)

The service is stopped by occurring a large number of transactions (processes) in the system (cloud, vehicle, or network). DoS attacks are expected for all use cases (UC-1 to UC-4). Depending on the objective of an attacker, the target will change, such as the service itself or the specific vehicle.

As a countermeasure, there is a method of monitoring targeted traffic, detecting DoS attack and mitigating the corresponding traffic.

• Attacks on services due to malware infection and unauthorized access

By infecting a cloud, a vehicle, or network system with a malicious program and operating it, there is a possibility that the attacker may execute any intended operations to cause the above attacks. In addition, unauthorized access to the cloud and the vehicle can change the system configuration and settings, enabling various attacks.

As a countermeasure, there is a method of introducing a function to detect malware or performing access control against unauthorized access.

• Attacks against vulnerabilities due to inadequate specifications and implementation of services

Abusing vulnerabilities of hardware or software in each service (UC-1 to UC-4), which are caused by inadequate specifications or implementation, the service may be exploited, misconfigured or misused. For example, in the case that the access control mechanism to personal data is insufficient, a malicious user may obtain privacy information of other user or change the history of service usage.

As a countermeasure, a development process to eliminate malicious programs and defective specifications in the software design / development process are required.

Table 5.2.20 shows the relationship between cybersecurity threats to vehicles described in WP29 and the above security threats. In the table, the above threats correspond to specific sections of the WP29. Specific countermeasures against these threats are listed in Annex A in WP29.

Table 5.2.20 Relationship between threats specified in this section and threats specified in WP29

Security Requirements of Connected Vehicle Service	Related Threat (see WP29)
Unauthorized use of services by spoofing	4.3.1(a)(b)(c), 4.3.2(a)(c)(d)(f), 4.3.6(b)(c)(d)
Illegal alternation of data stored in the cloud and vehicles	4.3.1(a), 4,3,2(b), 4.3.5(a), 4.3.6(a)(b)(c)(d)
Unauthorized acquisition by eavesdropping on data stored in the cloud, vehicels, and data on the network	4.3.1(a), 4.3.2(c)(h), 4.3.6(a)(b)(c)(d)(f)
Halt of Service (DoS attack) due to overloading cloud, vehicle and network	4.3.2(e), 4.3.5(c)
Attacks on services due to malware infection and unauthorized access	4.3.1(a), 4.3.2(g), 4,3.5(b)
Attacks against vulnerabilities due to inadequate use case specifications and implementation	4.3.4(a), 4.3.6(c)(d)

	Connected Vehicle Service (Autonomous driving support, infotainment, vehicle life support, agents, etc.)						
		Network	1 st Layer, Vehicle				
	Cloud		2 nd layer, In-vehicle System				
			Com. 3rd Layer O S Gateway Network ECU LSI				
	outside vehicle		(in vehicle)				
re】【Threat】	Service vulnerability, Service spoofing, Data tampering, information leak, DoS attack, etc.	Eavesdropping, spoofing, Data tampering, Malware, Unauthorized content DoS attack, etc.	access Data tampering, SW tampering, etc DoS attack, etc. Malware infection,				
Countermeasure	User authentication, Anomaly detection, Tampering detection, Privacy protection etc	Peer authentication, Communication encryptio Tampering detection, Anomaly detection (NW, vehicle) NW monitoring, etc.	Firewall Device authentication HSM, Tampering detection. Secure boot, etc Anomaly detection etc				
-	WP29(cyber security and OTA), ITU-T X.1373(OTA), ISO16461(PVS)						
rds			ISO/SAE 21434, JASO TP-15002				
[Standards	ISO20078, Cloud ISMS (ITU-T X.1601 ISO/IEC 27017 等		ITU-T X.itssec-3 AUTOSAR SecOC ITU-T X.itssec-4 EVITA-HSM, SHE, TPM 21217, TS21177 (SAE J3101) U-T X.itssec-2 ISO 20828				

Figure 5.2.35 Diagram of connected vehicle system, its threats, and the corresponding standards

5.2.3.1.2. UC-3: Security issues related to digital Content in the infotainment service

Entertainment information such as video, audio, and games is used in the infotainment service. Digital content includes the technology of handling of information itself and its distribution. Since high-value content is handled, security measures against unauthorized use such as eavesdropping and unauthorized copying of the digital content is of a major concern. DRM technology is expected to solve the above problems. DRM is technology on a service layer that does not depend on the network. Therefore, the use of DRM, which is independent of 5G discussed later, is summarized in this section.

DRM is well known technology for premium digital content distribution over the Internet because digital content is easy to duplicate, alter, etc... DRM stands for Digital Right Management system, not a crypt technology. The essential of DRM describes usage rule of digital content for authorized person/device. Usually, DRM does not have any dependency on bearer like WAN(LTE/5G) / WiFi, etc..., in other words, DRM does not use any feature of bearer.

Of course, crypt technology is used if content owner and/or provider hopes to prevent copy. Such usage is defined in "license" data in each DRM scheme for each authorized person/device. DRM is realized by many patents and ways, so Fig 5.2.37 shows just concept;



Fig 5.2.37 Playback flow

There are several DRMs in the real world; e.g. Widevice DRM of Google, PlayReady of Microsoft, FairPlay of Apple, Marlin of Marlin Trusted Management Org., etc... Content provider and/or aggregator usually uses one DRM technology for their service; means client system (player) needs to support the DRM to connect the service. If Car OEM hopes to support several content services, Car OEM needs to implement several DRM technologies. Usually, DRM technology is provided by DRM licensor such as the above together with agreement. In the agreement, licensor sometimes asks licensee to follow RR and CR to handle/playback DRM protected content (DRMed content).

RP(Robustness Rule)

RR stands for Robustness Rule. RR describes how to prepare HW and SW to prevent cracking activities as DRMed content player; e.g. using secure media bus for handling decrypted and decoded video data to prevent access by debugger for sniffing vide data.

CR(Compliance Rule)

CR stands for Compliance Rule. CR describes how to handle data if the data is sent to another device from DRMed content player to other devices including monitor. HDCP is known as content protection technology of HDMI and WiFi display (sometime such technology is called "link protection"). CR sometimes requires capability of this kind of link protection technology for DRMed content player.

Production

DRM licensor sometimes asks licensee to provision device unique key for each DRM at factory to realize more hardened DRM scheme. The key handling flow at factory is also defined in the RR.

Service

Sometimes content holder / aggregator asks OEM to show how to satisfy RR/CR and playback quality directly; it means it is not enough OEM to satisfy only CR/RR. In case of Mobile Device like Smartphone, usage of DRMed content is now quite simple; streaming and cache for off-line playback. Formerly, the reason is that main stream of service is now "streaming" over the Net.

Almost DRM scheme has authentication scheme, but does not include user authentication, so user needs to log-in to the service and bind their device to the service by using DRM feature.

For Car

It is recommended to use standard bus especially for AV signal handling like from head unit (receiver of DRMed content) to screen for back head, otherwise each Car OEM needs to negotiate their own technology with content holder/aggregator.

Car OEM also needs to consider which user authentication scheme is taken; simply carry today's mobile device system like ID/Password, use SIM auth system for log-in to the service.

5.2.3.1.3. Security of Connected Vehicles using 5G

Security in 5G is being considered in 3GPP SA3 for wireless access (RAN) and core networks (CN). In this section, we will clarify the subjects to be examined for the following 5G functions to realize the network or security requirements in the previous section.

• Trust model

In the use cases of the previous section, various players with different roles such as Connected Vehicle service users, service providers, car manufacturers, and network providers are involved. Accordingly, it needs to clarify the trust model among those players is considered in 5G network.

• Network Slicing

In the use cases of the previous section, different network qualities are required. Network slicing realizes to provide multiple logical networks with different qualities in RAN and NC on a common platform of 5G. It is also necessary to clarify the security issues of network slicing, considering the security requirements discussed in the previous section.

• MEC

MEC (Mobile Edge Computing / Multi-access Edge Computing) can reduce the network load by caching the content and reduce the latency by performing processing at the edge. Accordingly, MEC is expected to achieve the high throughput and low latency, which is one of the network requirements in the use case of previous section. When using MEC, it is also necessary to clarify the issues in consideration of the security requirements in the previous section.

• C-V2X

In order to realize use cases such as driving support, it is necessary to communicate with a large number of devices such as vehicles and roadside devices. C-V2X interface is also defined in 5G network. When using C-V2X, it is necessary to clarify the issues in consideration of the security requirements in the previous section.

• Authentication / authorization

In addition to service users, service providers, car manufacturers, and network providers in the above trust model, service-level authentication /authorization of network slice providers, MEC service providers, and C-V2X service providers shall be required.



Figure 5.2.37 5G functions based on network requirements of Connected Vehicle

5.2.3.2. Trust model

It is expected that various players will be involved in the realization of the Connected Vehicle service. Here, in the four use cases selected in the previous section, the trust relationships between players and authenticity of the various data shall be established from the viewpoint of the service level.

In the Connected Vehicle service, users, vehicles, networks, and clouds are players. From the viewpoint of cyber security measures on communication channel defined in WP.29, a trust relationship shall be established between each player and a secure relationship (connection) is established. The target for building a trust relationship is the player or the data exchanged between the other party (Peer Entity) (see Fig. 5.2.39).

Player	Entity
User:	Peer Entity :
OEM:	Data:
Telecom Operator:	
Service Provider:	
Surrounding	
Vehicle/Roadside unit:	

Figure 5.2.38 Trust model

Table 5.2.21	Information	exchanged	in	the	use	cases
10010 0.2.21	mation	CACHAIIgeu	111	UIIC	abe	cases

	Data
UC-1	 Surrounding vehicle driving status, Vehicle control information Dynamic map, Driver status
UC-2	Operation plan / status, traffic status / prediction, Movement requests/demands, Vehicle status, driver status
UC-3	Entertainment Information (Video, Audio, Image, Online Game, etc)
UC-4	Audio Information, Sensor Information, Driver monitoring information

Here, each player establishes a trust relationship depending on specific use case of the Connected Vehicle service.

Although, the users are assumed to be car owners, their families, rental users, car sharing users, and so on, the available services of the Connected Vehicle are restricted by the capabilities of the vehicle. For example, high-end cars generally have various additional services. In other words, it is realistic to think that the service on Connected Vehicle is determined by the combination of vehicle and the user.

The trust relationship of each player based on use cases is as follows.

• Vehicle authentication by its user

As vehicle manufacturers are trusted in the real-world environment, there is currently no need for users to verify the credibility of their cars.

Network authentication by user

As the vehicle authenticates the network, the user does not need to authenticate the network.

• Cloud service authentication by user

As the car authenticates the cloud service, the user does not need to authenticate the cloud service.

• User authentication and identification by vehicle

Currently, user authentication is performed using a physical key, but in the future, services that identify drivers, such as automobile insurance services, are also expected. Thus, the technology to authenticate user and verify its identity is also required.

Network authentication by vehicle

As the vehicle authenticates the network, the user does not need to authenticate the network. (Depending on the infrastructure, authentication may not be possible)

• Authentication of cloud services by vehicle

As the Connected Vehicle service is assumed to be a service provided by the vehicle, the vehicle authenticates the cloud service.

 \cdot $\,$ User authentication by network

As the network authenticates the connected vehicle, no user authentication is required.

Vehicle authentication by network

In the Connected Vehicle service, the network authenticates the connected vehicle.

- + Cloud service authentication by network
- In the Connected Vehicle service, the network authenticates the connected cloud service.
- \cdot User authentication by cloud

As Vehicle service, the cloud authenticates the Connected Vehicle, no user authentication is required.

+ Vehicle authentication by cloud

In the Connected Vehicle service, the cloud service authenticates the connected vehicle.

• Network authentication by cloud

In the Connected Vehicle service, the cloud authenticates the network. (Depending on the infrastructure, authentication may not be possible)

These trust relationships are summarized in Table 5.2.22.

Table 5.2.22 Trust relationship of each player

	User	Vehicle	Network	Cloud
User		Trust	Indirect trust	Indirect trust
Vehicle	Don't trust		Don't trust	Don't trust
Network	Indirect trust	Don't trust		Don't trust
Cloud	Indirect trust	Don't trust	Don't Trust	

5.2.3.3. Network slicing

Because the Connected Vehicle service requires different network requirements for each use case, it is required to use a logical network that meets these different requirements. For example, in the case of UC-1 autonomous driving support, for example the dynamic map service, high-throughput network to transfer data from the cloud to the vehicle is required. whereas when vehicle control information on the cloud side is notified to the vehicle, low latency network is required. A mechanism for managing the QoS of the network is required according to each of these different network requirements. Here, as a feature of 5G, the network slicing function based on the network virtualization can be used. **5.2.3.3.1. Security in 5G network slicing function**

Security issues on network slicing is discussed in 3GPP SA3 Phase 2. The security considerations for network slicing are as follows (3GPP TR 33.813) [27].

· Network slice authentication / authorization.

It provides a mechanism for slice authentication and authorization to prevent network resource consumption and DoS attacks due to unauthorized terminal access. Slice authentication may be used in combination with primary authentication.

 Key separation in network slicing.
 To manage keys for each slice so that key leakage does not affect other slices, and to provide a mechanism for efficiently guaranteeing forward security against key updates.

- Slice providers should customize and provide services to each slice user.
 For customization, network characteristics (wireless access technology, communication bandwidth, delay, reliability, etc.) and security level shall be considered. Regarding the security level, it is necessary to specify the security function to be provided to the slice user and the method of providing the function.
- For slice authentication / authorization, it is necessary to manage IDs and credentials that are different from the subscriber information of mobile operators. In order to ensure the above security, a secure storage method for IDs and authentication information for slice authentication / authorization in UE (communication terminal) and a secure communication between a network for slice authentication provided by a third party, and a 5G core network (AMF, SMF or NSSF) are required.
- The access token to the network slice is issued by NRF. Using access tokens for shared slices, slice users can access to service provided by the same type of network service provider (NF service producer)

NS-1 (NS-Producer-1) NS-2 (NS-Producer-2) shared slice level access token NS-3 (NS-Producer-3)

- Protect NSSAI information for privacy protection. Specifically, NSSAI information is not sent as initial NAS information until a secure session is established.
- Providing a means to cancel an invalid NSSAI so that the UE (communication terminal) can access the once rejected NSSAI.

The procedure for primary authentication and slice authentication is shown in Figure 5.2.40



Figure 5.2.39 Overview of primary and slice authentication procedures

5.2.3.3.2. Security Issues on Network Slicing in Connected Vehicles

In order to ensure safe autonomous driving, it is necessary to transfer vehicle control information with high reliability and low delay. Therefore, a network slicing of 5G URLLC, which is dedicated to autonomous driving support shall be used. For this purpose, the appropriate security profile and the network requirements (delay, service area, etc.) for autonomous driving support shall be specified.

(1) Authentication / authorization by slice provider

Network slicing dedicated to for autonomous driving support is a virtual communication network constructed on a mobile network. This network slicing is provided by service provider of autonomous driving support. Therefore, the autonomous driving support service authenticates a user who has made a contract with the autonomous driving support service and authorizes the access right of the user. In this way, the autonomous driving support service is a service independent of the mobile communication carrier.

In order to use the above services on the virtual network safely, as considered in 3GPP TR 33.813, ID and authentication information (Credential) for slice authentication shall be securely stored and managed in UE (communication terminal). For this purpose, a hardware tamper-resistant module such as SIM or TEE may be used. In addition, it will be necessary to manage the status of primary authentication and slice authentication in the UE. For example, the slice authentication works while the primary authentication is established.

Connected Vehicle service via multiple mobile carriers is also assumed, and it will be necessary to consider a roaming mechanism of slice authentication.

(2) Quality of Network Slicing

As discussed in 3GPP TR 33.813, slice providers provide slice users with customized services. Specifically, it is necessary to specify the network quality and security level for the slices assumed by each Connected Vehicle service.

An example of the parameters is shown below.

Network quality: delay, error rate, jitter

Security level: encryption method, key length, key management mechanism (key update interval, Perfect Forward Security etc.)

5.2.3.4. MEC

5.2.3.4.1. MEC Overview

MEC is an architecture that is expected to reduce latency and network load. Therefore, MEC is promising technology for Connected Vehicles. The MEC technology is studied in ETSI MEC, 3GPP and 5GAA respectively, as shown in Figure 5.2.41 [28]. ETSI MEC is promoting the standardization of APIs based on the MEC reference architecture and various use cases. 3GPP is studying the 5G architecture to incorporate the concept of MEC as a network function. As for AECC, automobile manufacturers and telecommunications carriers are studying network designs of Connected Vehicle services for the purpose to realize a distributed cloud environment based on the edge computing that covers multiple telecommunications carriers [29].



Figure 5.2.40 Relationship diagram of 5GAA, ETSI, and 3GPP regarding MEC Figure 5.2.42 shows the relationship between the 5G system architecture and MEC being considered in ETSI and 3GPP [30] [31]. MEC functionality is realized by way of an interface based on the SBA architecture of the 5G core. In detail, MEC is categorized as AF (Application Function) that uses the services provided by other network functions defined in 3GPP. MEC is constructed by accessing to various network functions (NF) via NEF (Network Resource Function) of 5G core. For example, network functions are authentication function (AUSF), network slicing (NSSF) and/or function for directing 5G traffic to MEC (UPF).



Figure 5.2.41 Relationship between 5G Service Based Architecture and MEC Architecture **5.2.3.4.2. MEC Security**

The security of the MEC architecture is currently under consideration [32] [33]. Here, when some of the functions of the Connected Vehicle work as a MEC application, the attack surfaces are network, other MEC applications, MEC infrastructure, malicious code embedded in the MEC application itself, and etc. The threat to that application is, as is the similar case of the cloud in the table in Section 4.2.3.1, spoofing, illegal data alternation, data leakage, and DoS. Therefore, the same security measures as specified in Section 4.2.3.1 are required.



Figure 5.2.42 Attack Surfaces for the MEC app

As a countermeasure against spoofing, user authentication by the MEC infrastructure is considered. In the case, the authentication function (AUSF) of the 5G core can be used. When each MEC application provides a service with a user, the function of user authentication /

authorization of MEC application by the MEC infrastructure is required. Although the detail procedure is for further study, secondary authentication is candidate mechanism of user authentication. Assuming the use of MEC by autonomous driving support, the owner of the MEC application corresponds to the provider of the autonomous driving support service. Therefore, the owner of MEC application, which is the provider of autonomous driving support service, shall authenticate the user who has made a contract for using the autonomous driving support service and authorize the access right thereof. Autonomous driving support services over multiple mobile carriers are also envisioned, and it is necessary to consider the roaming mechanism. In addition, it is necessary to have a mechanism to take over the security policy associated with services and users during roaming while maintaining consistency.

MEC applications such as autonomous driving support are third-party applications from the perspective of telecommunications carriers. When registering and operating as MEC applications, it is necessary to set certain criteria of security and verify MEC applications.

5.2.3.5. C-V2X

5.2.3.5.1. Overview of C-V2X

The C-V2X is standardized by 3GPP as a means of communicating between vehicles and between vehicles and roadside devices by cellular communication. Among these, the wireless interface standard PC5 is used for boundary communication of V2V (between vehicles), V2I (between road vehicles), and V2P (between pedestrians). In addition, V2N (between vehicle networks) is specified as wide area communication via the mobile communication network. In the study of 5G in 3GPP, V2N (reference point: Uu) will be specified in 3GPP Release 15, and V2I and V2V (reference point: PC5) will be standardized in Release 16. [34] Three data transfer methods are specified: unicast, groupcast, and multicast.



Figure 5.2.43 V2X architecture specified in 5G system architecture on 3GPP Release 16 [35] Regarding security at the reference point of PC5 (communication without mobile network), the following functions are examined in 3GPP SA3 TS33.836, where the target is mainly privacy protection of Layer 2 ID and source IP address [36].

- Privacy protection for unicast messages on PC5
 Eliminate traceability and linkability by regularly updating device ID (L2-ID)
- Security in V2X unicast messages on PC Establishing security associations (sessions) between devices
- Privacy protection for multicast messages on PC5
 Prevents source ID (L2-ID) tracking in multicast sessions
- Privacy protection for group communication ID
 Protects the device ID (L2-ID) from being linked from the group ID
- Security related to multicast communication settings
 Since L2 signaling is used when performing multicast communication, attacks on the protocol (MitM, etc.) are prevented.
- Security related to device service authorization and destruction Concealment, tampering prevention, and replay attack prevention for device service authorization and destruction protocols
- · Security related to Cross-RAT (LTE and 5G) service authorization

5.2.3.5.2. Security issues for C-V2X

Regarding C-V2X, security issues are summarized in Section 3.4.2, "Survey Report for Advancement of ITS / Autonomous Driving Using Cellular Communication Technology". The common security issues for each use case in the above report are as follows. "The authenticity of information and its responsibility are important. In this use case, message origin authentication from the information provider is required. For the purpose to prevent information from illegally altered by an uncertified organization, digital signature embedded in the distribution information and a secure connection with the information provider, distribution server, or vehicle shall be used. It is also necessary to consider privacy issues on vehicle tracking using control information. "

- The security specifications of application data in C-V2X are not covered by 3GPP. The specifications of security association between devices in short-range communication PC5 refers to PKI-based mechanism of security services for application and management messages defined in IEEE 1609-2, which is the part of IEEE DSRC (WAVE: Wireless access in Vehicular Environment). Where, IEEE1609-2 provides message confidentiality, integrity, and authentication / authorization.
- In the case of UC1, a vehicle shall obtain information on the running condition of surrounding vehicles from other vehicles and roadside devices with low latency. When confirming the authenticity by means of PKI certificate, performance issues shall be taken into consideration, which is also pointed out in the above report. In particular, it is necessary to consider efficiently verifying the certificate signature, checking revocation list (CRL), and communicating the large amount of certificate data.
- As for privacy issues to prevent vehicle from being traced, 3GPP SA3 TS33.836 defines a protocol to periodically change the Layer 2 ID and source IP address. Furthermore, if the application data is not encrypted, there will be also a traceability problem due to the data of the subject field in the certificate which describes person name, address, and etc.
- Roadside device may handle plural connected vehicle services over C-V2X. Application data of each service are exchanged between roadside device and vehicles. The service provider or subscribers of the service need to verify the authenticity of the above application data. This message origin authentication is independent of the device authentication of roadside device.

5.2.3.6. Authentication

With the result of studying characteristics of 5G in the use case of connected vehicle from section 5.2.3.2 to section 5.2.3.5 focusing on security, authentication can be considered as a common security issue.

This section summarizes the security issues from the perspective of authentication (see Figure 5.2.45).

- User authentication by vehicle
 The vehicle authenticates the driver and passenger.
- Vehicle Authentication by mobile carrier
 The mobile carrier authenticates the vehicle that connects to the mobile network. Here, it
 is assumed that the vehicle authenticates the user (driver or passenger) of the vehicle,
 and that the mobile carriers authenticate the communication module equipped in the
 vehicle.
- · User authentication by network slicing provider

The network slicing provider authenticates the user of the network slicing. The network slicing provider is assumed to be a service provider because the quality of network and security required for each use case of connected vehicle (service) are different. If the available use case of connected vehicle depends on the model of the vehicle, the network slicing provider authenticates the vehicle. If the available use case depends on the privilege of user, the network slicing provider authorize the user. Both cases shall be considered.

Authentication by MEC infrastructure

If the use case of the connected vehicle may depend on the vehicle model, MEC infrastructure authenticates the vehicle. If the use case of the connected vehicle depends on the user (driver or passenger), MEC infrastructure authenticates the user. Both cases shall be considered.

- MEC application authentication by the MEC infrastructure The MEC infrastructure authenticates the MEC application.
- Vehicle authentication by vehicle (V2V), Roadside device (V2I), or Pedestrian (V2P) authentication
 - In C-V2X, vehicles, roadside devices, and pedestrians authenticates vehicle.
- Authentication by the service provider

If the service depends on the model of the vehicle, the service provider authenticates the vehicle. If the service depends on the user (driver or passenger), the service provider authenticates the user. Both cases shall be considered.



Figure 5.2.44 Summary of authentication in Connected Vehicle

Service providers may use network slicing to provide MEC application which requires specific network and security qualities. In this case, it is assumed that the service provider, network slice provider, and MEC application provider are the same entity. In V2X, the mechanism of application data authentication (authenticity) is defined by IEEE /

DSRC, this is for future study.

5.2.3.7. Others

Table 5.2.23 and Table 5.2.24 show the 5G security functions specified in 3GPP SA3 that are expected to be used in each use case of the Connected Vehicle service.

	UC-1	UC-2	UC-3	UC-4
Primary authentication	0	0	0	0
Secondary authentication				
Inter-operator security:				
Privacy				
Service based architecture (SBA):				
Central Unit (CU) - Distributed Unit (DU)				
Key hierarchy				
Home Control				

Table 5.2.23 Relationship with 3GPP SA3 phese1

Study on the security of URLLC for 5GS	0		0	
Security of URLLC for 5GS	0		0	
Study on Security for 5GS Enhanced support of Vertical and LAN Services				
Security for Vertical_LAN				
Study on evolution of Cellular IoT security for the 5G System				
Study on Security Aspects of 3GPP support for Advanced V2X Services	0			
Study on Security of the enhancement to the 5GC location services				
Study on the security of the Wireless and Wireline Convergence for the 5G system architecture				
Mission Critical Services Security Enhancements	0			
Study on Security aspects of Enhancement of Network Slicing	0	0	0	0
Study on Security Aspects of PARLOS				
Security aspects of single radio voice continuity from 5GS to UTRAN				
Study on Security Aspects of the 5G Service Based Architecture				
Security aspects of eCAPIF				
Study on Security for NR_IAB				
Security Assurance Specification for 5G				
Study on 5G security enhancement against false base stations				
Study on Long Term Key Update Process (LTKUP) Detailed solutions				
Study on User Plane Integrity Protection				
Study on authentication enhancements in 5GS	0	0	0	0
Study on authentication and key management for applications based on 3GPP credential in 5G	0	0	0	0
Study on SECAM and SCAS for 3GPP virtualized network products				
Study on Security Impacts of Virtualisation				

Table 5.2.24 3GPP SA3 Relationship with phese 2 $\,$

5.2.4. Use Case Connected Vehicle Security Summary

As mentioned above, regarding the security of Connected Vehicle, we have summarized the security issues in 5G networks based on the discussions in related standards and forums. The 5G security functions being considered by 3GPP and ETSI are currently in progress, and changes and specifications are expected to be materialized in the future. Based on these progresses, we plan to consider specific measures for security issues.

5.3. FinTech Security Use Cases

5.3.1. Introduction

As development of 5G has progressed, new FinTech services are being created and developed as FinTech firms as well as companies in other fields are connecting to networks of existing financial institutions to offer services that have traditionally been conducted face-to-face. There is, however, an increasing need to think more deeply about and further study security issues, while still considering customer convenience, as planned use cases that demand user authentication increase and as firms from different fields become involved in FinTech so that services that require authentication and authorization between these different firms increase, as well. This chapter will discuss the different security issues arising from specific FinTech services as well as other security related issues that require further study.

5.3.2 5G FinTech Services

In this section, before getting into study issues related to FinTech security, provides a summary of the current state of FinTech services as well as the state of those services as they relate to 5G.

5.3.2.1 Major FinTech services

Figure 5.3.1 categorizes FinTech services into financial products and payments services, which can themselves be divided into those that target individual consumers and those that target businesses.



Figure 5.3.1 Main FinTech Services

5.3.2.2 Financial services using 5G

Services that financial institutions have offered online have been until now aimed at increasing convenience for users.

Examples include:

- Internet banking services that act as a virtual bank counter, allowing customers to check their balances as well as transfer and remit money online.
- Payment services that can be used online and in stores, facilitating retail payments via the customers mobile device rather than a physical card.
- Asset management services with AI-powered advisors.

As shown in Figure 5.3.2, as 5G continues to develop, FinTech services are also expected to continue to grow to include services that connect different industries, the creation of new services that use data from a variety of industries, and new services that are optimized for each individual users.

Examples of these anticipated services include:

- Individual authentication services based on use and activities.
- Authentication services for individuals based on usage and behavioral data.
- Financing services based on payment data.
- Insurance services based on driving records.
- Health food discount based on healthy lifestyles that are connected to insurance providers.
- Billing services based on usage (time, volume).



Figure 5.3.2 Fintech services using 5G

5.3.3 Collaborations between FinTech firms and other Related Organizations

FinTech firms and other related organizations were asked about their expectations for FinTech services that will utilize 5G.

5.3.3.1 ACSiON, Ltd.

Seven Banks's authentication service provider ACSiON, Ltd. offers the following products

• proost

A personal authentication platform that offers a method utilizing image processing technology to match an individual's photo data with their photo identification. It can also be used to implement a strict personal authentication process with information collected from other resources, as well.

• Detecker

An AI powered big data analysis platform that continuously monitors and detect fraud and unauthorized access to systems.

(1) Service Outline: Detecker

This platform monitors for fraud at each stage of a transaction, from when a company's customer purchases a product or service until they utilize that product or service, utilizing knowhow and experience from Seven Bank.



Figure 5.3.3 Service Overview (from the ACSiON, Ltd. Website)

- a. Aims
 - As a joint platform that detects fraud in areas such as unauthorized account openings, firms that utilize this service will allow sharing of information with each other, so that all participating companies can provide safe and secure services to their customers.
 - The service will grow stronger as it detects and prevents unauthorized uses, as unauthorized uses are detected they are then added to its database of examples to further detect and prevent such actions in the future.

b. Examples of preventable attempts of unauthorized access

- Fraudulent online applications for services such as opening a bank or credit card account.
- Unauthorized access to a user's online account (impersonation or identity theft).
- Unauthorized access to internet banking accounts (access to accounts by third parties).
- Unauthorized online purchases.

(2) Expectations for 5G

5G is expected to bring about stronger authentication processes with ways to identify individuals that go beyond facial recognition.

- Using 5G's characteristic low latency to monitor behavior and exchange authentication data at high speeds between devices.
- Using 5G's 28 GHz high frequency band's radio directivity for accurate position locationing.



Figure 5.3.4 Strengthening Personal Authentication with 5G

5.3.3.2. Collaboration with the Fintech Association of Japan

- (1) Overview of the Fintech Association of Japan
- a. Overview

The mission of the Fintech Association of Japan is to conduct activities, collaborate, cooperate, and exchange ideas with relevant bureaucracies and organizations in Japan and abroad, to promote open innovation and an environment that promotes the creation of new FinTech services, and contributes to sound business development an active FinTech ecosystem that can contribute to Japan's presence in the global financial IT business world. The association's membership includes about 270 firms, including 130 FinTech startups, as well as financial institutions, telecommunication firms, and construction firms. b. Committee Structure

#	Subcommittee name	Overview	
1	Compliance	Examination of cross-cutting regulations, eKYC, etc. Attended "Online Transaction research society in the Fintech Era" with the Financial Services Agency.	
2	API/Security	API and security research. Attended API study meetings at JBA, FISC, Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, etc.	
3	Cashless	Examining issues related to payment, promoting cashless payments. Participated in installment sales subcommittees, card API study groups, etc. at the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry.	
		Examination of promotion of electronic receipts and improvement of accounting / tax payment environment.	
4	Loan	Examination for new loan business model, examination of environment improvement.	
5	Investment asset management	Examination of environment improvement in line with Fintech, exchange of opinions with other organizations.	
6	Insurance	Examination / study session on InsurTech, examination on environment improvement.	
7	Capital Markets	Study / examination about ICO / token sale. (Global case, etc.)	
8	Remittance	Discussion about eKYC and related regulations. Research on the impact of the lifting of payroll and the efforts of each company.	
		RegTech/SupTech	Examining the ideal form of new governance utilizing data and
--	---	-----------------	---
	0		technology represented by RegTech / SupTech, and the ideal
	9		form of using technology for supervision and regulatory
			compliance.

Chart 5.3.1 Committee Structure of the Fintech Association of Japan (from the Association's website)

(3) Expectations for 5G

The authors participated in the 20th meeting of the Fintech Association of Japan's API and Security Committee. The first presentation session of the meeting was entitled "5G and Fintech", which included a panel discussion. In this session, the CEO of Kuwadate, Ltd., KUROSAKA Tatsuya outlined the digital twin concept, in which 5G and sensors work together to follow and record the data of people, their behavior and location, as well as the surrounding environment, in real time.

KUROSAKA explained the various new services that businesses working in the field of FinTech are expected to provide, including "scoring services" using real time data, moving from cashless to cashier-less payment systems, personal authentication services that connect telecommunications and finance, and new financial services through the authentication of things from people



Figure 5.3.5 Overview of 5G Related Services and Products (source: KUROSAWA Tatsuya, Kuwadate, Inc., "5G and FinTech", 20th meeting of the Fintech Association of Japan's API

and Security Committee.)

5.3.4 Points to consider for security and financial services with 5G

Here are some financial services that are expected to use 5G.

These new services can be sorted into categories that include: Cross industry collaborative transactions, financial services that are linked to vehicles; Individualized small private transactions, changes to financial services based on an individual consumer's daily behavior; the realization of the self-explanatory flexible transactions based upon usage; and high speed transactions for use in, for example, trading stocks.

\$	ŧ	Financial Services		
]	L	Transactions through collaborations with other firms: Example 1) Automatic payments at coin parking lots when parking and leaving. Example 2) Using IoT to check the state of driving and vehicular usage.		
 ² health levels, or daily shopping habits. ² Example 2) Dynamic gasoline pricing based on distance travelled. Example 3) "Robot Advisor Services" (for example, utilizing data from the 		Example 1) Advising on and realizing dynamic pricing through analyzing usage, health levels, or daily shopping habits.		
		Flexible payments based on usage:		

- 3 Example 1) Payments based on time/impression rather than frequency of use.Example 2) Payments triggered by the wearing of clothes.
- High speed transactions:
 - Example 1) High speed transactions for financial instruments such as stocks.

Chart 5.3.2 Financial Services expected to utilize 5G

Security issues that must be considered in order to realize these services, as shown in figure 5.3.6 include authentication between service providers with the realization of a cross industry collaborative service data API and personal authentication that can be shared in real time for that different firms to allow for collaboration across individual user devices and

networks.



Figure 5.3.6 Security Related Points to Consider

5.3.5. Issues for Authentication Between Service Providers and Points for Operators to Consider

5.3.5.1 Changes to financial firm's service models

Although financial firms at the moment offer their own personal customer services vertically, as different industries using FinTech begin to offer new, unique services such as Money Forward, for example, as well as with the implementation of the Revised Banking Act, many financial firms have begun to publish their own APIs.



Figure 5.3.7 Changes in Financial Institutions' Business Model

5.3.5.2 Revised Banking Act

The Act for Partial Revision of the Banking Act was approved on May 26, 2017 and enacted on June 2, 2018. With this revision, FinTech firms, with the agreement of individual customers, can access accounts and exchange information with financial institutions. The following issues must be considered, however.

- Security issues
 - Information related individual authentication, such as IDs and passwords, which are retained by firms other than financial institutions can be used to access financial services.
 - o Technical problems with account information transactions.
 - Since APIs are not published for inquires of account information from financial firms, fintech firms need to acquire information from analysis of financial firms' websites
 - As APIs are not published for inquiries for account information from financial institutions, FinTech firms must receive such information by analyzing the websites of financial institutions.
 - Problems related to open innovation.
 - As the legal position of FinTech firms is unclear, collaboration between financial institutions and FinTech firms cannot progress due to several issues including the uncertainty around financial institutions and the high level of security needed by financial institutions from FinTech firms



Figure 5.3.8 Revised Banking Act

5.3.5.3 An example authorization process using a financial-grade open API

In order to securely use an open API, users need to authorize FinTech firms to allow access to their financial institutions. In order to facilitate this, it has been recommended that the financial world use the OAuth 2.0 protocol for authorization in their open APIs.



Figure 5.3.9 Example of an Authorization (OAuth 2.0) Process Flow

using a Financial Open API.

5.3.5.4 Industries currently targeted for Financial API

Listed below are businesses that currently use financial APIs. Generally, services for individuals are those accessed via PCs and smartphones.

#	Classification	Contents	
1	Household account book service	 Web service that automatically creates a household account book by collectively managing multiple accounts of banks and securities companies. A household account book is automatically created based on bank deposits and withdrawals and card information. 	
2	QR code payment service	 QR code payment service using smartphones. Charge and settle from a pre-registered bank account or credit card. 	

Figure 5.3.3 How Financial APIs are Currently Used

5.3.5.5 Examples of Financial Services using IoT Devices

As 5G becomes more widespread, the following financial services are planned to be offered with products such as automobiles and household electronics.

#	Classification	Service	IoT device
1	Car	• Payment for gasoline (Price fluctuations according to mileage, amount, etc.	Car

		 Transit fare (GPS linked, payment according to distance other than highway) Parking lot price (charged by the time you parked) Drive-through Purchase digital contents and game items Sharing (charged according to mileage) Insurance (Insurance according to safe driving, mileage, driver, etc.) 	(In-vehicle terminal, etc.)
2	Industry	 Lending of corporate computer resources at night Equipment leasing (Payment according to usage time) Financing Inter-company settlement 	System equipment such as servers
3	Sharing (token) economy	 Person-to-person settlement Example: The lender pays the entire electricity bill, and the borrower is billed individually according to usage. Example: The lender pays the entire electricity bill, and the borrower is billed individually according to usage. (Example: the lender to pay the electric bill of the whole, the individual claims in accordance with the available to the borrower, Trading of surplus electricity from private power generation.) Target: Utility bills, telephone, internet, home appliances, private lodging, cars, bicycles, parking lots, water servers, etc. 	Home appliances, etc.
4	Settlement	•Settlement by biometrics at unmanned convenience stores, etc.	Cash register

Figure 5.3.4 Expected FinTech Services on IoT Devices utilizing 5G

5.3.5.6. Financial Open API Security Issues with IoT Devices

The major security issues with financial open API authentication processes on 5G powered IoT devices are listed below.

The following

(1) Attacks on IoT devices: unauthorized access, tampering, impersonation, etc.

(2) Attacks on the communication path between entities: communication data eavesdropping and tampering.

(3) Attacks on FinTech company and financial institutional systems: exploit vulnerabilities on system and network devices, DDoS attacks, etc.



Figure 5.3.10 Security Considerations for Financial Open API s

5.3.5.7 Points for operators to consider concerning security

- (1) Attacks on IoT devices
- 1 Tampering and leaks of credential information

In the case of IoT devices, an environment where anyone can access a device increases the possibilities of physical analysis and operation of the device. Telecommunications firms, when using contract credentials to authenticate connections to their networks, can use tamper resistant credential storage functions and store the credentials via secure storage. Operators that manage and operate IoT devices with unique credential data should also store these credentials on authorized, tamper resistant authentication devices.

② IoT devices used over a long period of time

As users generally purchase new mobile phones and smart phones every few years, it can also be expected that SIM cards will also be replaced every few years, as well. However, with the expected wide-spread introduction of 5G's special characteristic of massive multiple connections a single IoT devices can now expected to be used over a long period of time, making SIM cards more difficult to replace. Currently, a secret key is stored on SIM cards for user authentication which is written on the SIM card by the manufacture, which cannot be rewritten. Therefore, the SIM card itself must be changed if a security threat is discovered. Considering this risk, it should online modifications of SIM cards should be allowed. (2) Attacks on communications between entities

Network slicing will be introduced with 5G. Each slice will be able to be virtually independent from each other on the network so as to not adversely affect other slices, allowing an individual slice to use an encryption algorithm that is suitable for the service

being run on it. For example, in the case of an IoT device, if a battery needs to be used continuously over a long period of time, it is possible to use a low power, low energy algorithm such as a light encryption. 3GPP SA3 Phase 2 studies will be held for network slicing security, which is outlined in section 4.2.4.3.1.

(3) Attacks on FinTech firms as well as financial company organizations

It is necessary to further understand how access control should function for various types of data collected for different services as well as to authenticate and authorize access to the application and network layer. For 5G, this may mean implementing an access control policy for services that require a secondary authentication protocol that forms the basis of a uniform secure access management system. Secure authentication can be realized using AKMA (Authentication and Key Agreement for Applications) for systems that communicate directly with each other without needing to go through a centralized point. It is also necessary for trust service mechanisms to ensure data is being safely and security distributed through cyberspace, including ensuring reliable data transmission and preventing data tampering and impersonation, in order to realize Society 5.0. Key players in this field through the use of financial-grade open APIs will include users, firms that work on related IoT devices and networks, FinTech-related firms that offer such services as well as financial institutions. Looking at this from a cybersecurity perspective, in which attacks are expected against data transmission channels, a trust service infrastructure built between these varied players is necessary in order to ensure data is transmitted over a secure network.

The MIC established the Trust Service Working Group as part of the Research Group for Platform Services in January 2019, and is now currently working on the issues related to the following trust services:

- Authentication of an individual's true identity (electronic signatures).
- Authentication of an organization's identity (authentication for the target organization, website authentication).
- Authentication of the true identity of things connected to IoT devices.
- Ensuring the proof of data's existence and that it has not been tampered with (time stamp).
- Ensure the data is delivered (e-delivery).

5.3.6 Points for operators to consider and security issues related to real time authentication

5.3.6.1 Issues related to Authentication

Although currently personal authentication is mostly handled through ID/password combinations or login names, recently biometric data has begun to be utilized. However, it is a burden on users to register this data. Examples for whom this is true include health care workers and equipment maintenance personnel. In addition, when biometric authentication is required, it is often the case that biometric data must be retained when needed to authenticate media which is carried by individuals, making this unsuitable for cases which connect data between various firms, such as closed services, that 5G networks are expected to handle. As this is the case, processes that are more convenient for users, such as unique IDs assigned to mobile phones or ID cards, are increasing. However, although user convenience is high with these authentication processes, the possibility for falsification also increases.

5.3.6.2 Individual Authentication Possibilities with 5G

5G is expected to be able to identify human behavior through high speed, high capacity sensors, GPS, and purchasing data, as well as identify people online through visual authentication. For example, the Mithra Project at the Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, the University of Tokyo Social ICT Research Center is researching the ability to "lifestyle authentication", where big data such as geographic data from smart phones and wearable devices is analyzed to provide user authentication.



Figure 5.3.11 Overview of how daily behavior can be analyzed via of data input and output Source Lifestyle authentication technology using lifelogs" website at the University of Tokyo

5.3.6.3 Security Issues with Lifestyle Authentication

Security issues that arise from the realization of lifestyle authentication include: In order to conduct lifestyle authentication, it is necessary to analyze and efficiently collect a massive amount of visual and geographic data. This data cannot all be uploaded and processed in a cloud computing system, but instead must use edge computing, at a location on the network that is close to the user, which will reduce latency during data analysis and allow for the realization of utilizing broadband applications in real time. One technology that will facilitate this is Multi-access Edge Computing (MEC), a standard which is being promoted by ETSI.

The MEC platform replaces cloud servers to facilitate quicker responses from IoT devices that are located in their vicinity. It has been shown that when data is processed on MEC platforms, the response time from IoT devices is shown to be reduced. This brings about an additional advantage with the reduction of the need for transmission capacity with the reduction of data that is sent to the cloud. On the other hand, in order to move computer resources and data storage from the cloud to the MEC platform at the edge of the access network, there needs to be a network connecting IoT devices and the MEC platform. This network will be accessible to the many users of the MEC platform, which brings about greater security risks. (Attacks can come the MEC platform or from applications that other platform users have developed, etc.). Currently, these issues related to the MEC are being studied not only by the ETSI but the 3GPP as well, which are summarized in section 5.2.3.4. (2) Preventing tracing of terminal identifiers.

TMSI will be used instead of IMSI in order to prevent the tracking of terminal devices. Although the transmission of the plain text ISMI is no longer a problem, issues related to the tracking or specifying the location of a device still exists.

(3) Precise Personal Authentication

The analysis of GPS location data of an individual's movements is currently possible. With additional progress on 5G, additional behavioral data can also be collected and analyzed, bringing with its improvements to personalized capabilities. It is expected that financial services that require personal authentication will require more advanced personal authentication protocols in the future.

5.3.6.4. Points for Operators to Consider Regarding Security

(1) Security issues when using Edge computing (MEC Platform)

The same countermeasures implemented to prevent impersonation, data falsification and data leakage in cloud networks are also needed on MEC platforms. For example, as there will be direct connections between IoT devices and MEC platforms that will not go through a cloud network, further studies are needed on the various types of access data controls integrated into services and authentication and authorization to access the application layer, which is used by many different users, as well as the network layer.

Additionally, the network will require low latency and high throughput as behavioral data from IoT devices is sent back and forth between those devices and the cloud and MEC platforms. Using the network slicing functionality that is characteristic of 5G will allow theoretical networks to be safely utilized to meet these requirements.

(2) Terminal Identifiers

5G uses SUPI, which corresponds to IMSI from previous telecommunication generations, as the Identification number which is recorded on SIM card, from which authentication occurs using the SUCI, which is a randomly encrypted identifier using the SUPI as the public key of the network operator. This resulted from privacy concerns related to the IMSI identifier. Additionally, devices that have already been authenticated are given a temporary identifier called a GUTI. However, for mobile telecommunication firms must consider cases during which updates do not occur for some time, so the specifications in 5G can state that updates occur on a more regular basis

(3) Improving the accuracy of personal authentication

Biometric authentication is expected to be implemented, for example, use of facial features or fingerprints. However, the following issues still exist for biometric authentication:

a. Shared uses between various services $% \left({{{\mathbf{x}}_{i}}} \right)$

Up until now, biometric systems, using fingerprints, veins, irises, etc., for biometric data has been safely secured by being managed for an isolated system. In order to use biometric authentication across different, shared systems, it is necessary to register the biometric data in each system, a burdensome process which will limit the widespread use of biometric data for authentication.

b. Ensuring the privacy and security of biometric data

Biometric data includes extremely sensitive data about personal characteristics, including race, ethnicity, and health status. Looking at it from the standpoint of privacy issues, strict management of this data is required. Biometric data is such that it cannot be altered or discarded over the course of an individual's lifetime, so it would be very difficult to recover safely and securely after just one data breach, which could result in the occurrence of such crimes as identity theft.

One solution to this problem is the Public Biometric Infrastructure (PBI) template that has been proposed by Hitachi for use. Biometric data such as veins or fingerprints are used to create a public key that cannot be reverted to its original biometric source data, creating a digital signature that is able to use biometric data to for personal authentication. The currently used public key infrastructure (PKI) authentication systems such as electric IDs like IC cards requires strict management of authentication data. With PBI, however, biometric data can only be converted one way (as forward conversions are easy to do while reverse conversions are not) and this data is newly generated each time authentication is required. The user then does not need to manage the data nor is not possible to restore the biometric data from the digital signature.

Up until now, in order to keep biometric data secure, authentication functions utilizing it have been kept within individual, closed environments. However, if PBI is used, it can be deployed in the cloud and shared across many different services.



Figure 5.3.12 Public Biometrics Infrastructure Template (source: Hitachi website)

5.3.7 Summarizing Security Issues in FinTech Use Cases

This section has presented the results of discussions that were held with FinTech firms and relevant organizations about FinTech services utilizing 5G, such as financial open APIs and lifestyle authentication systems, as well presenting from the perspective of operators security problems as well as points to consider going forward. The 3GPP and ETSI will continue to conduct studies on these security related issues to formulate more concrete 5G specifications. As progress continues, concrete countermeasures for security threats will also continue to be studied.

6. References

6.1 IoT Security Use Cases

[1] IoT Security with 5G, 5G Security Research Ad Hoc Resources, October 2018. (only Japanese)

[2] IoT Security Guidelines, Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry (METI), Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications (MIC), IoT Acceleration Consortium (IOTAC), July 2016. (only Japanese)

[3] General Framework for Security for Safe IoT Systems, The National Center of Incident Readiness and Strategy for Cybersecurity (NISC), August 2016. (only Japanese)

[4] General Measures for IoT Security, Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications (MIC), October 2017.

[5] Cyber Physical Security Measures Framework (Draft), Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications (MIC), April 2018.

[6] Guide to IoT Research Security Design, Information-technology Promotion Agency, May 2016, revised April 2018.

[7] IoT Security Value Verification Guidelines, Connected Consumer Device Security Council, June 2017.

[8] IoT Security Standards/Guideline Handbook, Japan Network Security Network, May 2018.

[9] IoT Security Checklist, Japan Smartphone Security Forum, March 2018.

[10] Draft NISTIR 8200 - Interagency Report on Status of International Cybersecurity Standardization for the Internet of Things, National Institute of Standards and Technology, February 2018.

[11] Baseline Security Recommendations for IoT in the context of Critical Information Infrastructures, The European Union Agency for Cybersecurity, November 2017.

[12] Security Guidance for Early Adopters of the Internet of Things, Cloud Security Alliance (CSA), February 2016.

[13] OWASP IoT Top 10, The Open Web Application Security Project (OWASP), December 2018 (Revised).

[14] Technical Specification TS-0003 Security Solutions, OneM2M, April 2018.

[15] IoT Security Guidelines, GSMA, October 2018

[16] Security Studies Ad Hoc Activity Overview, 2018, Security Ad Hoc Secretariat, November 2018.

[17] Prasad, Anand R. "Key Points of 5G Security," NEC Corporation, July 2018.

(https://cybersecurity-magazine.com/key-points-of-5g-security/)

[18] 3GPP TR 38.913, "Study on Scenarios and Requirements for Next Generation Access Technologies; (Release 15)" [19] 3GPP TR 22.186, "Enhancement of 3GPP support for V2X scenarios; Stage 1 (Release 16)"

[20] Cimpanu, Catalin, "Newer Diameter Telephony Protocol Just as Vulnerable as SS7,"

July 2, 2018. (https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/newer-diameter-telephony-protocol-just-as-vulnerable-as-ss7/)

[21] 3GPP TR 23.724, "Study on Cellular Internet of Things (CIoT) support and evolution for the 5G System (5GS) (Release 16)"

[22] oneM2M - Home, https://www.onem2m.org/

[23] Ace (Authentication and Authorization for Constrained Environments) Status Pages, https://tools.ietf.org/wg/ace/

[24] OpenWeave, https://openweave.io/

[25] SP-190711, "New WID Authentication and key management for applications based on 3GPP credential in 5G" $\,$

[26] 3GPP TR 33.805, "Study on Security Assurance Methodology for 3GPP network products"

[27] 3GPP TR 33.916, "Security Assurance Methodology (SCAS) for 3GPP network products"6.2 Connected Cars Use Cases

[1] "Proposal for draft guideline on cyber security and data protection," 2016.

[2] "Proposal for a Recommendation on Cyber Security".

[3] "Recommendation on Software Updates of the Task Force on Cyber Security and Overthe-air issues".

[4] TTC TR-068, "Current standardization movement and issues before practical use for Over The Air updating in vehicles," October 2019.

[5] "Cyber Security Measures for Autonomous Driving Systems" Autonomous Driving Business Study Committee, June 26, 2019.

[6] "Status of the Development of ISO/SAE 21434," 25th European Conference, EuroSPI 2018, 2018.

[7] "ITS Standardization 2018," The Society of Automotive Engineers of Japan, Inc. 2018[8] "CEN TC278 WG16,".

[9] "ISO21177: ITS station security services for secure session establishment and authentication between trusted devices," 2019.

[10] "TS21185: Intelligent transport systems — Communication profiles for secure connections between trusted devices," 2019.

[11] ITS Forum RC-009 Security Guidelines for Driving support communications systems

[12] "Report on Issues on Improving ITS and Autonomous Driving Using Cellular

Communications Technology", ITS Info-communications Forum Cellular Systems Task Force, 2019.

[13] "Network Equipment Security Assurance Scheme Overview Version 0.3," May 11, 2016.

[14] "The GSMA will work with ENISA to secure 5G networks," January 29, 2020.

[15] "Cybersecurity of 5G networks - EU Toolbox of risk mitigating measures," January 29, 2020.

[16] "ISO27011 (ITU-T X.1051) Information technology – Security techniques – Code of practice for Information security controls based on ISO/IEC 27002 for telecommunications organizations," 2016.

[17] "ISO27017 (ITU-T X.1601) Information technology — Security techniques — Code of practice for information security controls based on ISO/IEC 27002 for cloud services," 2015.
[18] "Research Committee towards Realizing a Society for Connected Cars," Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications.

[19] "AECC: General Principle and Vision White Paper Ver 1.0.0," December 2017.

[20] Campolo, Claudia, et. al., "5G Network Slicing for Vehicle-to-Everything Services," IEEE Wireless Communications, December 2017.

[21] "3GPP TR 22.891, 3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Feasibility Study on New Services and Markets Technology Enablers; Stage 1 (Release 14)".

[22] Lema, Maria A., et al., "Business Case and Technology Analysis for 5G Low Latency Applications," IEEE Access, March 2017.

[23] "3GPP TR 33.813".

[24] "Toward fully connected vehicles: Edge computing for advanced automotive communications: White Paper, 5GAA".

[25] "AECC General Principle and Vision, White Paper," 2018.

[26] "MEC in 5G networks, ETSI White Paper No.28".

[27] "ETSI MEC: An Introduction:".

[28] "Cloud and MEC security," 2018.

[29] "5G security package 3: Mobile Edge Computing / Low Latency / Consistent User Experience," NGMN.

[30] "3GPP TS 23.285: Architecture enhancements for V2X services (Release 16)," 2019.

[31] "3GPP TR 33.836: Study on Security Aspects of 3GPP support for Advanced V2X Services (Release 16)," 2019.

[32] "5G V2X Architecture and Radio Aspects," 2019.

[33] KATSUKI Shinichi, "Trends on ITS Standardization Activities in ISO/TC204," JARI Research Journal, 2017.

[34] "Network Equipment Security Assurance Scheme (NESAS)," GSMA.

[35] "ISO16461: Intelligent transport systems — Criteria for privacy and integrity protection in probe vehicle information systems," 2018.

[36] "ISO21217: Intelligent transport systems - Communications access for land mobiles

(CALM) - Architecture," 2014.

6.3 FinTech Security Use Cases

[1] "5G and FinTech", 20th meeting of the Fintech Association of Japan's API and Security Committee, December 3, 2019

[2] "Report of Review Committee on Open APIs: Promoting Open Innovation", Review Committee on Open APIs July 13, 2017

[3] "Use of Open APIs in the Financial Sector: Its Effects on Cybersecurity and

Countermeasures," Bank of Japan Review Series, no. 18-J-3, June 2018.

[4] "Digital Solutions to Innovate Society: Technology and Future Prospects for Finger Vein Authentication Using Visible-light Cameras", Hitachi Review 2018, Vol. 67, No. 5, August 2018.

[5] ACSiON. Ltd., https://www.acsion.co.jp/

[6] Fintech Association of Japan, https://www.fintechjapan.org/members

[7] Social ICT Research Center, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology,

University of Tokyo, http://www.sict.i.u-tokyo.ac.jp/research/lifestyle.html

7. Summary

This white paper provided an overview of the activities of the Security Research Committee in 2019.

This paper was organized around three specific use cases: 1) IoT, 2) Connected Vehicles, and 3) FinTech, and the wide range of known security issues, from the point of view of 5G security. Research into these difficult to solve issues is planned to continue into the future.

Revision History

Revision	Date	Content	Notes
Number			
1.0	August 4, 2021	Publication of First Edition	